A Distributed Network for Social Cognition Enriched for Oxytocin Receptors
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Oxytocin is a neuropeptide important for social behaviors such as maternal care and parent–infant bonding. It is believed that oxytocin receptor signaling in the brain is critical for these behaviors, but it is unknown precisely when and where oxytocin receptors are expressed or which neural circuits are directly sensitive to oxytocin. To overcome this challenge, we generated specific antibodies to the mouse oxytocin receptor and examined receptor expression throughout the brain. We identified a distributed network of female mouse brain regions for maternal behaviors that are especially enriched for oxytocin receptors, including the piriform cortex, the left auditory cortex, and CA2 of the hippocampus. Electron microscopic analysis of the cerebral cortex revealed that oxytocin receptors were mainly expressed at synapses, as well as on axons and glial processes. Functionally, oxytocin transiently reduced synaptic inhibition in multiple brain regions and enabled long-term synaptic plasticity in the auditory cortex. Thus modulation of inhibition may be a general mechanism by which oxytocin can act throughout the brain to regulate parental behaviors and social cognition.
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Introduction

Oxytocin is an evolutionarily conserved peptide that binds to a G-protein–coupled receptor with a single isoform (Richard et al., 1991; Kimura et al., 1992; Kubota et al., 1996; Gimpl and Fahrenholz, 2001; Knobloch et al., 2012). Oxytocin is synthesized and released from the supraoptic nucleus (SON) and the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) of the hypothalamus to produce a number of physiological effects involved in mammalian social behavior (Dulac et al., 2014; Rilling and Young, 2014). Lactation and parturition are controlled by peripheral release of oxytocin and oxytocin signaling within the CNS is important for behaviors such as mating, pair bond formation, and child rearing (Pedersen and Prange, 1979; McCarthy, 1990; Nishimori et al., 1996; Insel and Young, 2001; Takayanagi et al., 2005; Bartz et al., 2011; University and W. Tsien (NYU School of Medicine). Oxytocin receptor plasmid (pAAV-OXTR) was obtained from L.J. Young (Emary University). AAV was obtained from the University of Pennsylvania Vector Core. The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Significance Statement

Oxytocin is an important peptide hormone involved in maternal behavior and social cognition, but it has been unclear what elements of neural circuits express oxytocin receptors due to the paucity of suitable antibodies. Here, we developed new antibodies to the mouse oxytocin receptor. Oxytocin receptors were found in discrete brain regions and at cortical synapses for modulating excitatory-inhibitory balance and plasticity. These antibodies should be useful for future studies of oxytocin and social behavior.
Wacker and Ludwig, 2012). It is believed that the forebrain distribution of oxytocin receptors is critical for social behaviors (Insel and Shapiro, 1992; Olazábal and Young, 2006), but the precise expression pattern of oxytocin receptors and downstream consequences of oxytocin receptor signaling remain unclear.

A significant barrier to physiological studies of oxytocin is the lack of adequate oxytocin receptor antibodies suitable for immunohistochemistry and electron microscopy. Previously, receptor distribution was examined by RNA in situ hybridization or autoradiography using radioligands, revealing the general anatomical areas believed to express oxytocin receptors (Elands et al., 1988; Tribollet et al., 1989; Gutkowska et al., 1997; Insel and Young, 2001). However, autoradiography lacks cellular resolution and synapse-type or cell-type specificities required for in-depth study of neural circuits sensitive to oxytocin. More recently, transgenic methods combined with viral expression systems have been used to tag oxytocin receptors with fluorescent reporters such as GFP (Nakajima et al., 2014) or the Venus variant of YFP (Yoshida et al., 2009). These important studies have highlighted several regions and cell types regulated by oxytocin, including somatostatin-positive interneurons of prefrontal cortex involved in sexual behavior (Nakajima et al., 2014) and serotonergic neurons of the raphe nuclei that control anxiety (Yoshida et al., 2009) and project to nucleus accumbens for social reward (Dölen et al., 2013). One caveat of these approaches is that transgene expression could interfere with the endogenous expression profile (Harris et al., 2014), especially given the large number of regulatory elements controlling transcription and tissue-specific localization of the oxytocin receptor (Gimpl and Fahrenholz, 2001).

Therefore, we aimed to develop specific antibodies for the mouse oxytocin receptor. Commercially available antibodies to the oxytocin receptor are not sufficiently sensitive nor specific enough to detect cell-type expression (Yoshida et al., 2009). An additional issue is the sequence similarity between the oxytocin receptor and receptors for vasopressin, another peptide hormone important for social behaviors (Dulac et al., 2014; Rilling and Young, 2014). Therefore, we chose specific sequences in the oxytocin receptor that differed significantly from vasopressin receptors. After purifying and validating these antibodies, we investigated where oxytocin receptors were found in the mouse brain by examining receptor localization across different areas and also in subcellular compartments (including excitatory and inhibitory synapses) using electron microscopy.

Recently, we showed how oxytocin affects cortical circuits to enable maternal behavior (Marlin et al., 2015). In particular, oxytocin enables mice to recognize the behavioral significance of infant distress vocalizations. Expression of maternal retrieval behavior is enhanced by oxytocin (Ehret, 1987; Koch and Ehret, 1989) and seems to require plasticity specifically within left auditory cortex to reorganize excitatory and inhibitory inputs for successful processing of pup distress calls (Marlin et al., 2015). Therefore, we focused our analysis on receptor expression within auditory cortex to determine the anatomical and physiological basis of this functional specialization and to describe the elements of cortical circuits sensitive to oxytocinergic modulation. We also investigated whether there were general principles of oxytocin receptor expression and function depending on sex, experience, age, and subcellular location. Our results indicate that oxytocin modulation is important for regulating excitatory–inhibitory balance and plasticity in the auditory cortex and possibly throughout the brain.

Materials and Methods

Production of oxytocin receptor antibodies. All procedures were approved under New York University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocols. Four custom peptides were synthesized based on the mouse oxytocin receptor amino acid sequence: ELDLGSVPPGAEGN- LTAPPRRNE (aa 12–36; OXTR-1) at the N terminus, EGGSDAAGGRAAALRVSVKLSKAI (aa 243–270; OXTR-2) in the intracellular loop, and CCSARYLKSRPGETSSSK (aa 345–365; OXTR-3) and KKSNSTFVLSSRCSSQSSCQPSSA (aa 363–388; OXTR-4) at the C terminus. These target peptides were chosen based on a high level of antigenicity using the Thermo Scientific Antigen Profiler. BLAST analysis was performed to ensure the uniqueness of these sites in the mouse proteome. The peptides were generated by AnaSpec with a terminal cysteine added to each to allow for conjugation to keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH). KLH conjugation and injection into rabbits was performed by the Pocono Rabbit Farm & Laboratory. Each rabbit was injected with the respective peptide solution biweekly and a small bleed was collected monthly. The resulting polyclonal antisera were tested using dot and Western blot and immunohistochemistry before being further purified using affinity chromatography.

The immune serum of each rabbit contains many antibodies; therefore, recovery of the oxytocin receptor antibodies was accomplished via affinity purification. A peptide column was built for each of the four different peptides. Then, 2.5 mg of peptide in 2 ml of PBS, pH 8.0, and 10 mg of high-quality bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma-Aldrich) were mixed in a glass tube. A crosslinking agent, 3-maleimidobenzoic acid N-hydroxysuccinimide ester (1.5 mg; Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in 50 μl of DMSO, added to the peptide-BSA solution, and stirred for 1 h to allow for conjugation. The optical density (OD) of the solution was examined at 280 nm. CNBr-activated Sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare) were then suspended in 1 mM HCl and then washed with PBS to neutralize the pH. The resin was mixed with the peptide/BSA solution and incubated overnight at 4°C. The OD of this peptide–BSA mixture was again examined at 280 nm to ensure >80% binding of the complex to the column beads. The column was washed with PBS and 0.1 M Tris, pH 8.0, before being washed extensively with sodium acetate (0.1 M) and NaCl in TBS. The column was equilibrated with a 0.1% Tween 20 solution in Tris-buffered saline (TBST), spun, and filtered, and then incubated overnight with column resin at 4°C. Sera flow-through was collected and washed with 200–300 ml of TBST. Glycine-HCl (4–5 M) was added to the column for 10 min. Antibodies were then eluted into tubes with 40 μl of 1 M Tris, pH 9.0, and 40 μl of 5 M NaCl for each milliliter of elute. Protein concentration was measured via OD at 280 nm and a sample of each fraction run on an SDS-PAGE gel stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue to assess presence of antibodies as a protein band for light (25 kDa) and heavy chains (~50 kDa) of IgG. Further specificity testing was done for each fraction via immunohistochemistry in wild-type and oxytocin receptor knock-out brain sections.

Specificity of antibodies was further evaluated via Western blot analysis of HEK293 cells expressing an oxytocin receptor–Venus construct (provided by L.J. Young, Emory University) and protein extracts from mouse uterus and brain. Untransfected HEK293 cells, as well as tissues from the oxytocin-receptor knock-out uterus and brain, were used as controls in each Western blot analysis. The isolation of protein was done using a lysis buffer consisting of 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 1% Nonidet P-40, 10 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, 150 mM NaCl, and freshly added phosphatase and protease inhibitors (Roche). Lysates were spun for 10 min (15,000 rpm) to pellet the nuclear fraction and the protein content of the supernatant was assayed using spectrophotometry. Next, 20 μg of protein from cell lysates and 50–75 μg of protein from tissue lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes. Nonspecific antibody binding was blocked by incubating blots with 5% milk in TBST buffer (20 mM Tris, 137 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20) or 3% BSA in TBST. Membranes were washed in TBST buffer and incubated in oxytocin receptor antibody (1 μg/ml) overnight at 4°C. The next morning, membranes were washed in TBST and then incubated for 1 h at room temperature with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibodies. The mem-
branes were washed with TBST and then the signal was visualized with enhanced chemiluminescence (GE Healthcare). Controls were done by using preimmune sera and target peptide immunoblots to show specificity of bands for the oxytocin receptor.

For immunohistochemical analysis with light microscopy, wild-type or oxytocin receptor knock-out C57BL/6 mice were anesthetized via intraperitoneal injection (0.1 ml per 10 g) of a ketamine–xylazine mixture containing 15 mg/ml ketamine and 5 mg/ml xylazine in 0.9% sodium chloride solution. Mice were perfused intracardially with a solution of heparin (1000 units/ml) and PBS to prevent clotting, followed by 40 ml per mouse of freshly prepared 4% paraformaldehyde in 10 mM phosphate buffer. The brains were carefully removed and postfixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 2 h at 4°C and then cryoprotected overnight in 30% sucrose at 4°C. The brains were then embedded in a cryoprotectant medium (Tissue Tek Optimal Cutting Temperature Medium; VWR) and stored at −80°C until they were sectioned. Coronal 16 μm sections were cut on a cryostat and collected on SuperFrost Plus glass slides (Fisher Scientific). Sections were washed in PBS and blocked for 2–3 h at room temperature in PBS containing 2% v/v Triton X-100 and 5% v/v normal donkey serum. The blocking solution was aspirated and the sections were incubated with oxytocin receptor primary antibody diluted in block to a concentration of 1 μg/ml. Sections were incubated for 2 d at 4°C in a moist chamber. Sections were washed with PBS (3 × 15 min at room temperature) in a staining jar and incubated for 1–2 h at room temperature in Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies diluted 1:500 in PBS. Any unbound secondary antibody was washed with PBS (3 × 15 min at room temperature) and sections were incubated for 15 min at room temperature with a DAPI solution (10,000 stock diluted in PBS) for nuclear staining. After a final rinse, the slides were coverslipped using fluoromount G (Southern Biotechnology Associates). Animals were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane and then perfused, sectioned, and prepared the next day using a vibratome set to a thickness of 50 μm. These sections were collected and stored in new plastic multiwell plates, and number of immunoreactive cells in each section were determined by taking images of wild-type and knock-out sections under the same laser power output, pinhole aperture, and gain. Images from each brain area were collected and saved for manual counts by two independent blinded observers. Maximum intensity projections of image stacks were examined, each representing at least six to eight distinct optical planes. Quantification of OXTR-2 cells in these animals was performed blind to estrous state.

Quantification of OXTR-2+ cells. Slides were examined and imaged using a Carl Zeiss LSM 780 confocal microscope with four solid-state lasers (405/444, 488, 555, 639 nm) and appropriate filter sets. For imaging sections co-stained with multiple antibodies, short-pass 555 nm (Alexa Fluor 488), short-pass 640 nm (Alexa Fluor 555), and long-pass 640 nm (Alexa Fluor 647) photomultiplier tubes were used. The distribution and number of immunoreactive cells in each section were determined by taking images of wild-type and knock-out sections under the same laser power output, pinhole aperture, and gain. Images from each brain area were collected and saved for manual counts by two independent blinded observers. Maximum intensity projections of image stacks were examined, each representing at least six to eight distinct optical planes. Brain areas in these sections were identified according to stereotaxic coordinates (Franklin and Paxinos, 2007). In six animals, estrous state was first determined by daily collection of vaginal secretions (Calignani, 2009) smeared onto a SuperFrost Plus microscope slide. Estrous state was identified under a light microscope at 10× using the criteria of Byers et al. (2012). Animals were then anesthetized, perfused, sectioned, and stained. Quantification of OXTR-2+ cells in these animals was performed blind to estrous state.

Quantification of oxytocin receptor mRNA using real-time PCR. Acute coronal slices of auditory cortex (350 μm thick) were prepared from postnatal day 40 (P40)–P70 C57BL/6 virgin female mice with a vibratome (Leica). Animals were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane and decapitated. The brain was rapidly submerged in ice-cold dissection buffer containing the following (in mM): 87 NaCl, 75 sucrose, 1 KCl, 1.25 CaCl2, 7 MgCl2, 25 NaHCO3, 1.3 acetic acid, and 10 dextrose. A dissecting microscope was used to determine the location of auditory cortex in each slice and a 1-mm-diameter microcurette was used to obtain homologous punches of left and right auditory cortex, which were then flash frozen in dry ice.

Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and quantified using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). Equal amounts of RNA for left and right samples were purified using a DNase 1 digestion kit (Invitrogen) and reverse transcribed into cDNA using the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen) in a Veriti 96 well thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems). Quantitative PCR was performed using a mouse oxytocin receptor Taqman probe (Life Technologies, assays ID: Mm01128564_m1) and amplification was performed using a Step One Plus Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies). Controls lacking random hexamers, reverse transcriptase, or oxytocin receptor Taqman probe were run to verify the lack of contamination in the preparation. qPCR assays were performed in triplicate per sample on 96-well plates. Left and right samples from the same animal were run on the same plate and the ddCT method was used to determine the relative oxytocin receptor transcript level with ribophorin assay (Life Technologies, assays ID: Mm00505837_m1) as endogenous controls (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Oxytocin receptor mRNA levels of left and right auditory cortex were compared by Student’s paired two-tailed t test because the data passed Kolmogorov–Smirnov normality tests.

Quantification of oxytocinergic fiber densities. For viral injections, OXT-IRESCre animals were bred into a C57BL/6 background. Female mice 2–4 months old were anesthetized with isoflurane (0.5–2.5%). A craniotomy was performed over the left PVN using stereotaxic coordinates (from bregma in mm: 0.7 posterior, 0.25 lateral, 4 ventral) and pAAV5-EF1α-DIO-ChETA-EYFP (1–1.2 μl) was injected (0.1 μl/min). Animals were given at least 2 weeks to recover and allowed for adequate expression of YFP and the ChETA variant of channelrhodopsin-2.

Perfusion and immunohistochemical processing of these animals was conducted as described above. YFP-positive cells and their projections were detected via a chicken anti-GFP primary antibody (1:1000; Abcam) and a goat anti-chicken Alexa Fluor 488 secondary antibody. Confocal images were acquired with a Carl Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope and consisted of a z-stack that spanned the thickness of the section. Maximum intensity projections of image stacks are shown, each representing at least six to eight distinct optical planes. YFP+ cells and fibers were co-stained with an oxytocin peptide antibody (MAB5296; Millipore).

For axon length measurements, YFP-positive axon segments in OXT-IRESCre animals were quantified with the NeuronJ plugin in ImageJ by one blinded observer. Traces of the fibers and puncta were drawn over each image of areas of interest analyzed for OXT-2 expression, as well as additional brain regions that revealed YFP-positive fibers. Fiber length was normalized to area of the acquired image, which covered a specific anatomic brain region.

Electron microscopy. Brains were collected from oxytocin receptor knock-out and wild-type littermate mice at 6–10 weeks of age (provided by Richard W. Tsien and Katsuhiko Nishimori). Wild-type and knock-out animals were processed in parallel to ensure equalized labeling conditions. Mice were deeply anesthetized with urethane (34%, L.p., 0.1 cc per 15 g body weight) and transcardially perfused with 20 ml of saline solution of the ABCElite kit (Vector Laboratories). In parallel, 3,3′-diaminobenzidine HCl (DAB; Sigma-Aldrich) solution was prepared by dissolving 10 mg tablets in 44 ml of PBS. Once the sections were rinsed of...
A+B solution, DAB solution was activated by adding 4 μl of 30% hydrogen peroxide per 44 ml of DAB solution. The activated product was promptly added to the sections and the reaction was stopped after 8 min by transferring the sections to PBS and rinsing them repeatedly. The sections were postfixed for 15 min in a 2% glutaraldehyde in PBS solution, rinsed, and then stored in PBS-Azide at 4°C.

Next, sections were processed for electron microscopy by postfixing with 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M PB for 1 h, dehydrating with increasing concentrations of ethanol (up to 70% in water), and then incubating overnight in 1% uranyl acetate diluted in 70% ethanol for further preservation of the ultrastructure and contrast enhancement of membranes. On the next day, sections were further dehydrated by increasing concentrations of ethanol up to 100%, rinsed in 100% acetone, and then infiltrated with EPON 812 (EM Science). The sections were carefully placed between two Aclar plastic sheets held flat by a lead weight on top of the Aclar and cured at 60°C for 24–36 h. The flat-infiltrated sections were then reembedded in EPON 812-filled Beem capsules and cured.

The position of the auditory cortex in each tissue was visualized by light microscopy and identified using landmarks such as the external capsule and the hippocampal anatomy. The embedded ultrathin section was at an orientation tangential to the vibratome sections because that surface was maximally exposed to the antibody. The ultrathin sections were placed 400-mesh Formvar-coated nickel grids.

Images were captured using a 1.2 megapixel Hamamatsu CCD camera from AMT from the JEOL 1200XL Electron Microscope. Examiners were kept blind to the animal genotypes while capturing images very close to the EPON–tissue interface, regardless of the presence of synaptic vesicles and lack of synaptic junctions. The four epitope sequences (OXTR-1,2,3,4) chosen for antibody generation are highlighted in bold.

**Design of oxytocin receptor antibodies.** Aligned sequences of mouse oxytocin receptor and vasopressin V1a/V1b receptors. The four epitope sequences (OXTR-1,2,3,4) chosen for antibody generation are highlighted in bold. "Amino acid identity between oxytocin receptor and either V1a or V1b receptor; "A" or "B", amino acid similarity between oxytocin receptor and either V1a or V1b receptor ("A" or "B") represents similarity of >0.5 in the Gonnet-PAM 250 matrix.

**OXYR_MOUSE**  DENNITPAANSW3 IDILGSGVY-PPGAEAGNLATGFFPRRENELARJVEAVLCL 48
**VIAR_MOUSE**  MSFPGRSHDLPSGNNSSFWFPLITEGANSRREASLEGCGSFFGFDVVRMIALELVYLVAV 60
**VIBR_MOUSE**  MDEME-ESGTWATTTPGGT---FWETTLLWMLALREVSEGIGLAT 43

**OXTR-1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Amino Acid</th>
<th>Identity</th>
<th>Similarity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ser</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Asp</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tyr</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Val</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OXTR-2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Amino Acid</th>
<th>Identity</th>
<th>Similarity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Thr</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tyr</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OXTR-3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Amino Acid</th>
<th>Identity</th>
<th>Similarity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Gln</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Val</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Asp</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ser</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OXTR-4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Amino Acid</th>
<th>Identity</th>
<th>Similarity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Phe</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Ala</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Val</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** Design of oxytocin receptor antibodies. Aligned sequences of mouse oxytocin receptor and vasopressin V1a/V1b receptors. The four epitope sequences (OXTR-1,2,3,4) chosen for antibody generation are highlighted in bold. "Amino acid identity between oxytocin receptor and either V1a or V1b receptor; "A" or "B", amino acid similarity between oxytocin receptor and either V1a or V1b receptor ("A" or "B") represents similarity of >0.5 in the Gonnet-PAM 250 matrix.
programmed cycle. The resulting RNA was eluted to 100 μl of RNase TRizol-free water and stored at −80°C in 2.0 ml Sarstedt tubes until use. Samples were initially quantitated using a Qubit RNA assay. Additional analyses of purity and the quantitation of total RNA were performed using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) and Agilent RNA 6000 Pico chip (Agilent) according to the manufacturer’s protocol using the reagents, chips, and ladder provided in the kit.

RNAseq was performed by the Vanderbilt Technologies for Advanced Genomics core (VANTAGE) as in Hackett et al. (2015); Total RNA was isolated using the Aurum Total RNA Mini Kit (Bio-Rad). All samples were quantified on the QuBit RNA assay. RNA quality was verified using an Agilent Bioanalyzer. RNAseq data were obtained by first using the Ribозero Magnetic Gold Kit (Human/Mouse/Rat; Epicenter) to perform ribosomal reduction on 1 μg of total RNA following the manufacturer’s protocol. After ribosomal RNA (rRNA) depletion, samples were purified using the Agencourt RNAclean XP Kit (Beckman Coulter) according to the Epicenter protocol specifications. After purification, samples were eluted in 11 μl of RNase-free water. Next, 1 μl of ribosomal depleted samples was run on the Agilent RNA 6000 Pico Chip to confirm rRNA removal. After confirmation of RNA removal, 8.5 μl of RNA-depleted sample was input into the Illumina TruSeq Stranded Sample Preparation Kit for library preparation. Libraries were multiplexed 6 per lane and sequenced on the HiSeq 2500 to obtain at least 30 million paired end (2 × 100 bp) reads per sample.

RNAseq data went through multiple stages of quality control as recommended by Guo et al. (2014a). Raw data were aligned with TopHat2 (Kim et al., 2013) against the mouse mm10 reference genome and read counts per gene were obtained using HTSeq (Anders et al., 2015). Normalized read counts for OXTR were obtained by dividing raw read counts against total read count in the sample and multiplying by 10⁸. Normalized read counts were averaged over all samples for each age (P7, P14, P21, adult) and brain region (auditory cortex, auditory thalamus). ANOVA with Tukey post hoc testing was used to screen for significant differences in expression between ages for each brain area and gene.

In vitro electrophysiology. Acute slices of left auditory cortex, piriform cortex, and PVN were prepared from adult virgin female C57BL/6 mice. Animals were deeply anesthetized with a 1:1 ketamine/xylazine mixture and decapitated. The brain was rapidly placed in ice-cold dissection buffer containing the following (in mM): 87 NaCl, 75 sucrose, 2 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 0.5 CaCl2, 7 MgCl2, 25 NaHCO3, 1.3 ascorbic acid, and 10 dextrose, bubbled with 95%/5% O2/CO2, pH 7.4. Slices (300–400 μm thick) were prepared with a vibratome (Leica), placed in warm dissection buffer (33–35°C) for <30 min, and then transferred to a holding chamber containing artificial CSF (ACSF) at room temperature (22–24°C) for >30 min before use. For experiments, slices were transferred to the recording chamber and perfused (2–2.5 ml min⁻¹) with oxygenated ACSF at 33°C.

Somatic whole-cell recordings were made from layer 5 pyramidal cells in the auditory cortex, layer 2–3 pyramidal cells in piriform cortex, and PVN neurons from either wild-type virgin female mice or virgin female Oxt-ires-Cre mice expressing ChETa in oxytocin neurons. Recordings were performed in voltage-clamp or current-clamp mode with a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices) using IR-DIC video microscopy (Olympus). Patch pipettes (3–8 MΩ) were filled with intracellular solution either for voltage-clamp recordings containing the following (in mM): 130 Cs-methanesulfonate, 4 QUX-314, 5 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 3 MgATP, 10 phosphocreatine, 0.3 GTP. For auditory cortical neurons, the mean series resistance (Rₛ) was 32.6 ± 11.1 MΩ (SD, SD) and the mean input resistance (Rᵢ) was 157.3 ± 64.0 MΩ, determined by monitoring cells with hyperpolarizing pulses (50 pA or 5 mV for 100 ms). For piriform neurons, Rₛ was 32.6 ± 14.5 MΩ and Rᵢ was 149.3 ± 67.1 MΩ. For PVN neurons, Rₛ was 26.0 ± 12.6 MΩ and Rᵢ was 329.0 ± 134.3 MΩ. Recordings were excluded from analysis if Rₛ or Rᵢ changed >30% compared with the baseline period. Data were digitized at 10 kHz and analyzed with Clampfit 10 (Molecular Devices). Focal extracellular stimulation (0.25 Hz stimulus rate) was applied to a bipolar theta glass or metal electrode (Grass, stimulation strengths of 5–150 μA for 0.01–1 ms) located 100–500 μm from the recording electrode. To measure excitatory strength, EPSP initial slope (first 2 ms) or mean peak EPSP (2.5 ms window) was used for current-clamp or voltage-clamp recordings, respectively; EPSP slope is correlated with peak amplitude but less prone to contamination by polysynaptic activity (Staff and Spruston, 2003; Sweatt, 2009). EPSCs were measured at hyperpolarized potentials (−70 to −85 mV). For inhibitory currents, a larger window (5–15 ms) was used and IPSCs were measured at depolarized potentials (−10 to −40 mV). For optogenetic stimulation, blue light pulse trains were applied at 5 Hz with 3 ms with 15 mA light intensity, 100 ms pulse width duration. Spontaneous EPSCs and IPSCs in these recordings were detected with a template EPSC or IPSC, respectively, and excluded unless the amplitude was >2 SDs.
above baseline membrane noise level. The average of all spontaneous event amplitudes and rates was computed (TTX was not included in the bath for these measurements). To examine spike generation, some cells were transiently depolarized by 5–20 mV prior to and during synaptic stimulation; a fixed duration and amount of depolarization was used for a given cell during baseline and postoxytocin measurements. Oxytocin, gabazine (SR95531), DNQX, and APV were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. OTX was purchased from Bachem. All statistics and error bars are reported as means ± SEM and statistical significance was assessed with paired two-tailed Student’s t tests.

In vivo electrophysiology. For in vivo electrophysiology, female mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (0.5–2.5%). A small craniotomy was performed over left auditory cortex with stereotaxic coordinates (from bregma in mm: 2.9 posterior, 4.0 lateral). In vivo recordings were performed in a sound-attenuating chamber. To ensure recordings or implants were targeted to A1, we first recorded multiunit activity with tungsten electrodes. A1 was mapped with pure tones (60 dB SPL, 2–79 kHz at 0.2–1 octave steps, 50 ms duration, 1–3 ms cosine on/off ramps) delivered in a pseudorandom sequence at 0.5–1 Hz. After locating A1, in vivo whole-cell current-clamp recordings were made from A1 neurons with a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices). Patch pipettes (4–9 MΩ) contained the following (in mM): 135 K-gluconate, 5 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 5 MgATP, 10 phosphocreatine, and 0.3 GTP, pH 7.3. Whole-cell recordings from A1 neurons were obtained from cells located 420–800 μm below the pial surface. Data were filtered at 5 kHz, digitized at 20 kHz, and analyzed with Clampfit 10 (Molecular Devices). For in vivo recordings of auditory cortical neurons, the mean R<sub>c</sub> was 34.0 ± 22.0 MΩ (SD) and the mean R<sub>I</sub> was 128.2 ± 82.7 MΩ. Recordings were excluded from analysis if R<sub>c</sub> or R<sub>I</sub> changed >30% compared with the baseline period. For pairing tones with oxytocin, baseline responses to pure tones were recorded for 5–20 min. A tone different from the best frequency was then chosen and presented repetitively for 1–5 min at 0.5–1 Hz in the presence of oxytocin (50 μM) applied topically via a perfusion system directly over the craniotomy at a flow rate of 4 ml/min for a total of 3 min. Afterward, oxytocin perfusion was ceased and saline was gently applied to the cortical surface with a syringe. This topically applied oxytocin might diffuse to recorded cells 420–800 μm below the pial surface or may act at more superficially located synapses. All statistics and error bars are reported as means ± SEM and statistical significance was assessed with paired two-tailed Student’s t tests.

Results

OXTR-2, a novel and specific antibody to the mouse oxytocin receptor

To study the cellular distribution of the oxytocin G-protein-coupled receptor in the brain, specific antibodies to the mouse oxytocin receptor were required. We examined the sequence of the mouse oxytocin receptor (Fig. 1) and selected four peptide regions as immunogens based on previous data on antigenicity and the physical and topographical properties of particular regions of the protein. Specifically, we identified epitopes that were maximally different from corresponding regions of the vasopressin V1a/V1b receptors. The affinity of the oxytocin receptor for oxytocin is only 10-fold greater than that for vasopressin (Postina et al., 1996), indicating that the putative ligand-binding domain of the oxytocin receptor is not a good candidate for generating specific antibodies. Correspondingly, the areas of highest homology between oxytocin and vasopressin receptors are located in the extracellular loops and the transmembrane helices, whereas the N terminus, C terminus, and the intracellular loops are less conserved (Gimpl and Fahrenholz, 2001). These differences provided a starting point for choice of epitopes that maximized differences between the oxytocin receptor and the vasopressin V1a and V1b receptors. We prepared peptides called OXTR-1, OXTR-2, OXTR-3, and OXTR-4 (Fig. 1) and used each for rabbit immunization.

Figure 3. Expression of oxytocin receptors in virgin female mouse brain. A, Oxytocin receptor immunostaining in PVN with OXTR-2 imaged at 20×. Red, OXTR-2; blue, DAPI. Scale bar, 100 μm. Top, Wild-type (wt). Bottom, Oxytocin receptor knock-out animal (KO). Immunostaining with OXTR-2 did not detect receptors in oxytocin receptor knock-out animals. B, OXTR-2 immunostaining in lateral septum of wild-type (top) and knock-out animal (bottom) imaged at 10×. Scale bar, 150 μm. C, Left auditory cortex of wild-type (top) and knock-out animal (bottom) imaged at 10×. Scale bar, 150 μm. D, Amygdala imaged at 20×. Scale bar, 100 μm. E, Hippocampus imaged at 10×. Scale bar, 500 μm. F, Frontal cortex imaged at 20×. Scale bar, 150 μm. G, Olfactory bulb imaged at 10×. Scale bar, 150 μm. H, Median raphe nucleus imaged at 20×. Scale bar, 150 μm.

Our initial analysis indicated that all four antisera were positive. We then focused on OXTR-2, which had the highest sensitivity of the four antibodies. First, we compared the immune and preimmune sera by immunoblotting with different amounts of pure target OXTR-2 peptide. No reactivity was observed in the preimmune bleeds, but higher levels of reactivity were observed with increasing amounts of OXTR-2 (Fig. 2A). We then used HEK293T cells transfected with OXTR-IRES-Venus CDNA to express oxytocin receptors and the Venus YFP variant in the same cells (Nagai et al., 2002; Yoshida et al., 2009). In Western blots from oxytocin-receptor-expressing HEK cells, we observed a protein band at 43 kDa that corresponded to the expected molecular weight of the mouse oxytocin receptor (Fig. 2B, “OTR”). In contrast, that band was not present in untransfected control cells (Fig. 2B, “C”). Oxytocin-receptor-expressing HEK cells were also immunostained with OXTR-2 and cells were colabeled for both OXTR-2 and Venus (Fig. 2C).

We then examined OXTR-2 immunofluorescence in tissue from wild-type versus oxytocin receptor knock-out mice (Nishimori et al., 1996). Positive labeling was detected in wild-type virgin female uterine tissue (Fig. 2D) and brain (Fig. 3). In contrast, no labeling was observed in oxytocin receptor knock-out mice anywhere in the brain or other tissues such as uterus (Fig.
2D), hypothalamic PVN (Fig. 3A), lateral septum (Fig. 3B), and neocortex (Fig. 3C). Finally, preadsorption of the OXTR-2 antibody with OXTR-2 pure peptide abolished the immunoreactivity observed with antibody alone in wild-type cortex (Fig. 2E). These experiments demonstrate that the OXTR-2 antibody is highly specific for the mouse oxytocin receptor and that the antibody binds to the target epitope sequence on the oxytocin receptor.

**Oxytocin receptor expression in adult mouse brain**

Next, we used OXTR-2 antibodies to examine the expression patterns of oxytocin receptors in female virgin mice (Fig. 3) and compared receptor expression to mother animals and males (Fig. 4). Oxytocin receptors were expressed at various cell densities throughout the brain. We quantified expression levels in 29 different brain regions of experienced mother mice, pup-naive adult virgin females, and adult males (Table 1), including hypothalamic PVN, central amygdaloid nucleus, basolateral amygdaloid nucleus, bed nucleus of stria terminalis, hippocampal areas CA1-CA3, dentate gyrus, frontal association cortex, globus pallidus, olfactory bulb, lateral hypothalamic area, right lateral septum, motor cortex, nucleus accumbens core, piriform cortex, prefrontal cortex, paraventricular nucleus of hypothalamus, median raphe, visual cortex, and ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus. Gray areas may have expressed oxytocin receptors but were not quantified here.

Figure 4. OXTR-2 expression profile in the brain. A, Schematics summarizing OXTR-2 expression in mothers, virgin females, and males. Shown are four anterior–posterior coronal sections (from left: bregma 2.7 mm, interaural 6.5 mm; bregma 0.7 mm, interaural 4.5 mm; bregma −1.1 mm, interaural 2.7 mm; and bregma −2.3 mm, interaural 1.5 mm). Color indicates percentage of DAPI-positive cells that were OXTR-2+ per region. Brain regions identified and quantified in Table 1: auditory cortex (ACtx), anterior hypothalamus (AHP), basolateral amygdaloid nucleus (BL), central amygdaloid nucleus (Ce), anterior olfactory nucleus (AO), bed nucleus of stria terminalis (BST), hippocampal areas CA1-CA3, dentate gyrus (DG), frontal association cortex (FrA), globus pallidus (GP), granular cell layer of the olfactory bulb (GrO), lateral hypothalamic area (LH), right lateral septum (LS), motor cortex (M1), nucleus accumbens core (NaC), piriform cortex (PcTx), prelimbic cortex (PrL), paraventricular nucleus of hypothalamus (PVN), median raphe (RN), somatosensory cortex (S1), suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN), suprachiasmatic nucleus of hypothalamus (SON), visual cortex (V1), and ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus (VMH). Gray areas may have expressed oxytocin receptors but were not quantified here. B, OXTR-2 immunostaining in piriform cortex of female (left) and male (right) imaged at 10×. Note more OXTR-2+ cells in females. Scale bar, 100 μm. C, OXTR-2 immunostaining of virgin female hippocampus imaged at 20×. Scale bar, 200 μm. D, OXTR-2 immunostaining in left auditory cortex (left) and right auditory cortex (right) of virgin female imaged at 20×. Note more staining in left auditory cortex. Scale bar, 100 μm.
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2D), hypothalamic PVN (Fig. 3A), lateral septum (Fig. 3B), and neocortex (Fig. 3C). Finally, preadsorption of the OXTR-2 antibody with OXTR-2 pure peptide abolished the immunoreactivity observed with antibody alone in wild-type cortex (Fig. 2E). These experiments demonstrate that the OXTR-2 antibody is highly specific for the mouse oxytocin receptor and that the antibody binds to the target epitope sequence on the oxytocin receptor.

**Oxytocin receptor expression in adult mouse brain**

Next, we used OXTR-2 antibodies to examine the expression patterns of oxytocin receptors in female virgin mice (Fig. 3) and compared receptor expression to mother animals and males (Fig. 4). Oxytocin receptors were expressed at various cell densities throughout the brain. We quantified expression levels in 29 different brain regions of experienced mother mice, pup-naive adult virgin females, and adult males (Table 1), including hypothalamic PVN (Fig. 3A), neocortical regions such as piriform and auditory cortex (Fig. 3C), amygdala (Fig. 3D), subregions of the hippocampus (Fig. 3E), frontal cortex (Fig. 3F), olfactory bulb (Fig. 3G), and the median raphe nucleus (Fig. 3H). These regions were chosen based on their importance in social behavior (Yoshida et al., 2009; Nakajima et al., 2014; Rilling and Young, 2014). Schematics in Figure 4A summarize the mean percentages of OXTR-2+ cells in mothers, virgins, and males relative to total cell counts for each area detected with DAPI nuclear stain, but it is important to note that receptor expression in some brain areas could be somewhat variable.

Our initial characterization showed that oxytocin receptors were expressed throughout the brains of female and male mice to varying degrees (Table 1) and the expression level was similar across the estrous cycle in virgin female auditory cortex or PVN (p > 0.4 between estrous and proestrous, Student’s unpaired t test, n = 3 animals in each state). Quantification of oxytocin receptor expression revealed three obvious differences in the patterns of expression in adult mice. First, piriform cortex had more OXTR-2+ cells in females than in males (Fig. 4B,
ternal behaviors that may be especially sensitive to oxytocinergic modulation in female mice beyond the hypothalamus: hippocampal CA2, piriform cortex, and left auditory cortex. Modulation and plasticity in these areas may be especially important for recognition of infant vocalizations, scents, and locations.

### Table 1. Comparison of OXTR-2 labeling in adult mouse brain of mothers, virgin females, and males

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain region</th>
<th>Mothers</th>
<th>Virgin females</th>
<th>Males</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACx left (auditory cortex)</td>
<td>20.8 ± 3.5%</td>
<td>26.5 ± 2.3%</td>
<td>18.9 ± 2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACx right (auditory cortex)</td>
<td>15.6 ± 3.4%</td>
<td>20.6 ± 3.0%</td>
<td>18.8 ± 2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHP (anterior hypothalamus)</td>
<td>32.7 ± 8.9%</td>
<td>28.6 ± 9.8%</td>
<td>25.1 ± 7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL (basolateral amygdaloid nucleus)</td>
<td>23.4 ± 5.6%</td>
<td>34.4 ± 5.8%</td>
<td>33.5 ± 11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce (central amygdaloid nucleus)</td>
<td>46.9 ± 9.7%</td>
<td>49.2 ± 6.6%</td>
<td>37.6 ± 5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO (anterior olfactory nucleus)</td>
<td>55.2 ± 3%</td>
<td>48.0 ± 3.2%</td>
<td>34.8 ± 11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BST (bed nucleus of stria terminals)</td>
<td>24.7 ± 10%</td>
<td>42.2 ± 7.2%</td>
<td>31.5 ± 17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA1 (hippocampus)</td>
<td>32 ± 3.7%</td>
<td>22.8 ± 6.5%</td>
<td>44.5 ± 9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA2 (hippocampus)</td>
<td>62.6 ± 4.3%</td>
<td>52.8 ± 5.9%</td>
<td>39.8 ± 11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3 (hippocampus)</td>
<td>33.3 ± 3.6%</td>
<td>23.3 ± 7.5%</td>
<td>38.6 ± 16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG (dentine gyrus of hippocampus)</td>
<td>30.8 ± 9.7%</td>
<td>20.8 ± 5.6%</td>
<td>31.8 ± 5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL (frontal association cortex)</td>
<td>51.2 ± 5%</td>
<td>42.4 ± 11.6%</td>
<td>30.9 ± 6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGP (globus pallidus)</td>
<td>9.5 ± 3.5%</td>
<td>13 ± 2.9%</td>
<td>10.2 ± 2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRO (granular cell layer of the olfactory bulb)</td>
<td>45.3 ± 4.2%</td>
<td>59.4 ± 12.2%</td>
<td>34.2 ± 13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH (lateral hypothalamic area)</td>
<td>25.5 ± 4.1%</td>
<td>18.0 ± 5.4%</td>
<td>26.5 ± 19.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS (lateral septum)</td>
<td>37.3 ± 6.7%</td>
<td>55.2 ± 9.7%</td>
<td>40.1 ± 7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1 (motor cortex)</td>
<td>28.1 ± 7%</td>
<td>20.4 ± 0.8%</td>
<td>12.5 ± 2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaC (nucleus accumbens core)</td>
<td>18.8 ± 6.8%</td>
<td>20.4 ± 6.5%</td>
<td>16.2 ± 6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCh (piniform cortex)</td>
<td>51.0 ± 10.1%</td>
<td>44.8 ± 7.5%</td>
<td>10.5 ± 1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrL (prolimbic cortex)</td>
<td>60.8 ± 9.7%</td>
<td>36.4 ± 8.3%</td>
<td>37.9 ± 13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVN (paraventricular nucleus of hypothalamus)</td>
<td>31.1 ± 4.6%</td>
<td>33.1 ± 8.7%</td>
<td>23.1 ± 12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN (medial raphe)</td>
<td>23.2 ± 7.1%</td>
<td>17.9 ± 3.4%</td>
<td>19.9 ± 6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1 left (somatosensory cortex)</td>
<td>24.6 ± 9.5%</td>
<td>24.9 ± 5.7%</td>
<td>13.1 ± 4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1 right (somatosensory cortex)</td>
<td>20.8 ± 6.3%</td>
<td>22.4 ± 5.2%</td>
<td>18.5 ± 5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN (suprachiasmatic nucleus)</td>
<td>61.8 ± 6.7%</td>
<td>60.2 ± 6.1%</td>
<td>64.9 ± 4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SON (supraoptic nucleus of hypothalamus)</td>
<td>57.1 ± 4.1%</td>
<td>55.8 ± 8.6%</td>
<td>35.4 ± 19.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1 left (visual cortex)</td>
<td>25.2 ± 5.9%</td>
<td>18.4 ± 6.4%</td>
<td>21.0 ± 1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1 right (visual cortex)</td>
<td>30.5 ± 5.9%</td>
<td>20.5 ± 6.2%</td>
<td>16.0 ± 3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMH (ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus)</td>
<td>42 ± 11.8%</td>
<td>53.1 ± 12.1%</td>
<td>44.3 ± 8.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Listed are the percentages of the OXTR-2-labeled DAPI-positive cells for all regions except auditory cortex: n = 4 for mothers and virgin females, n = 3 for males; for auditory cortex, n = 7 for mothers, n = 9 for virgin females, n = 5 for males.

$p < 0.03$, ANOVA with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Piriform cortex is an olfactory area believed to be important for learned odor associations and several forms of social behavior (Haberly and Bower, 1989; Richter et al., 2005; Cohen et al., 2015). Second, hippocampal CA2 in females had more OXTR-2+ cells than in other regions of the hippocampal formation (Fig. 4G, p < 0.01). This hippocampal subregion is also thought to be especially sensitive to peptidergic modulation and involved in social memory formation (Hitti and Siegelbaum, 2014; Pagani et al., 2015). Finally, left auditory cortex in females had more OXTR-2+ cells than in right auditory cortex from the same females (Fig. 4D, p < 0.002, Student’s paired two-tailed t test), although this lateralization was not observed in males (p > 0.9). Collectively, these areas represent a distributed network for social cognition related to mouse maternal behavior, particularly in terms of auditory, olfactory, and spatial memory.

These observations then led us to investigate whether cortical receptor expression was lateralized more generally throughout the temporal lobe in which the auditory cortex is located or perhaps in other sensory systems as well. However, this was not the case. Across animals, there was no clear left/right asymmetry of OXTR-2 immunoreactivity in primary somatosensory barrel cortex (p > 0.4) or visual cortex (p > 0.2), although it is important to note that we did not test animals behaviorally to control for paw preference or other forms of functional lateralization (Biddle and Eales, 1996). We also did not detect significant left lateralization within other temporal areas surrounding core auditory cortex either dorsal (p > 0.1) or ventral (p > 0.7) from the core regions. These findings indicate that, although oxytocin may act throughout the brain, there are specific areas related to oxytocinergic axonal projections

How do these patterns of oxytocin receptor expression relate to axonal projections from oxytocin-releasing neurons of the PVN? We examined oxytocinergic axons in oxytocin-IRES-Cre (Oxt-IRES-Cre) mice (Irani et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2012) expressing YFP specifically in PVN oxytocin neurons via stereotaxic injection of a Cre-dependent adeno-associated virus (pAAV5-EF1a-DIO-ChETA-EYFP). We counted YFP+ axon segments and putative axon terminals in the same brain areas of different virgin female mice examined for oxytocin receptor expression.

In general, there was little correspondence between the percentage of OXTR-2+ cells and the density of YFP+ axon segments and terminals in the cortex and throughout the rest of the brain, although there was some interesting regional heterogeneity (Fig. 5). For example, sections of virgin female left auditory cortex expressed more oxytocin receptors than visual cortex (Table 1), but we observed a comparable number of oxytocinergic fibers across different cortical areas of virgin female Oxt-IRES-Cre mice (Fig. 5A–C, n = 7 animals, p > 0.3, ANOVA). We also found that hippocampal CA1 and CA2 had substantially more YFP+ axons than CA3 and the dentate gyrus from the same animals (Fig. 5D,E, p < 0.05). Over all brain regions examined, however, there was not a significant correlation between OXTR-2 expression and oxytocinergic axon abundance (Fig. 5F, r: 0.32, p > 0.1). As we previously reported in a smaller subset of animals.
almost 10 times greater than the rest of the brain (Fig. 5G, \( p < 0.001 \) for hypothalamic regions compared with nonhypothalamic regions). We observed what appeared to be direct oxytocin fibers between PVN and SON (Fig. 5H). Using an antibody to oxytocin peptide, we confirmed that these PVN cells and projections were oxytocinergic because they were costained for oxytocin and YFP (Fig. 5I). Therefore, neural processing may be coordinated in some manner between these two nuclei by direct interactions mediated by oxytocin.

**Subcellular localization of cortical oxytocin receptor expression**

We took advantage of the specificity of the OXTR-2 antibody to examine the subcellular localization of oxytocin receptors. We used electron microscopy to examine tissue sections of virgin female mice (Nedelec et al., 2010; Waters et al., 2015), sampling from the auditory cortex of both wild-type and oxytocin receptor knock-out animals to quantitate the relative amount of OXTR-2 labeling in various subcellular compartments.

We observed OXTR-2 labeling throughout cortical tissue sections at low to moderate levels (Fig. 6). Oxytocin receptors were found at putative excitatory synapses, both at presynaptic terminals (Fig. 6A, \( F, p < 10^{-4} \), Fisher’s two-tailed exact test) opposed to PSDs and also at PSDs themselves (Fig. 6B, \( F, D, \ p < 10^{-4} \)). OXTR-2 labeling was also found at inhibitory synapses located both on dendritic shafts and perisomatically (Fig. 6C, \( F, p < 0.01 \)), as well as preterminal axon segments and glial cells (Fig. 6D, \( D, E, G, p < 10^{-4} \) for axonal labeling, \( p < 0.004 \) for glial labeling). However, we did not detect significant labeling within dendrites (Fig. 6G, \( p > 0.1 \)). Inhibitory synapse expression is interesting given recent studies by us (Marlin et al., 2013) and others (Owen et al., 2013) showing that oxytocin modulates GABAergic transmission in neocortical and hippocampal circuits. Moreover, oxytocin receptors are expressed predominantly by cortical interneurons (Nakajima et al., 2014; Marlin et al., 2015). These data suggest that oxytocin receptors are poised at GABAergic synapses to control inhibitory transmission, perhaps by multiple presynaptic and postsynaptic mechanisms.

**Development of cortical and thalamic oxytocin receptor expression**

An interesting set of studies has recently examined oxytocin modulation in the perinatal and postnatal rodent hippocampus, demonstrating that oxytocin can reduce the efficacy of GABAe-
gic transmission as either an inhibitory (Owen et al., 2013) or excitatory (Tyzio et al., 2006; Tyzio et al., 2014) neurotransmitter. Therefore, we next investigated whether oxytocin receptors were expressed in the female mouse auditory thalamus and cortex at earlier ages and, if so, whether there were differences in the patterns of receptor expression early in life compared with adulthood.

We noticed that the spatiotemporal profile of OXTR-2+ expression in the auditory cortex differed over the first three postnatal weeks compared with adulthood (Fig. 7A–C). We quantified OXTR-2 expression and mRNA levels in the left and right medial geniculate body (the auditory thalamus; Fig. 7D, top) and auditory cortex (Fig. 7E, top). We observed changes in the number of OXTR-2+ cells with age. Expression began relatively high in the auditory thalamus during the first postnatal week and declined thereafter in a similar manner for both the left and right hemispheres (Fig. 7D, top, p < 10−4, ANOVA with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons). This pattern of protein expression was matched by a corresponding reduction in oxytocin receptor mRNA as measured with RNAseq over postnatal development after the high levels in the first postnatal week (Fig. 7D, bottom, p < 0.05).

A different pattern was observed in the auditory cortex. Initially, expression and mRNA levels were both low during the first postnatal week, but then increased abruptly at week two, decreasing thereafter to moderate levels in adults (Fig. 7E, p < 10−4 for OXTR-2 expression, p < 0.03 for mRNA). The second and third weeks are important stages for auditory cortical development, with hearing onset in rodents beginning around P10, the critical period for tonotopic map organization occurring over the next few days, and the development of excitatory–inhibitory balance progressing into the end of the third postnatal week (Dorrn et al., 2010; Barkat et al., 2011; Froemke and Jones, 2011).

Oxytocin receptor expression is lateralized in adult female mouse auditory cortex (Marlin et al., 2015), with ~30% more cells expressing these receptors within core auditory cortex (Fig. 4, Table 1). We found that this asymmetrical receptor expression was not present early in life, but emerged in the third postnatal week (Fig. 7F, top), even though receptor expression was highest overall during the second postnatal week (Fig. 7E, top). To examine the bi-
OXTR-2 labeling in female left auditory cortex of postnatal week 2 mouse. Scale bar, 100 μm. **D**, Summary of OXTR-2-labeled cells (top) and OXTR mRNA measured with RNAseq (bottom) at different postnatal weeks (Wk) in auditory thalamus. The first postnatal week had the highest amount of thalamic OXTR-2 expression (n = 18 animals, 4–6 animals/age; p < 10−4, ANOVA with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons) and mRNA level (n = 11 animals, 2–3 animals/age; p < 0.05). Filled symbols, tissue from left hemisphere; open symbols, right hemisphere. Red lines and error bars are means ± SEM. **E**, Summary of OXTR-2-labeled cells (top) and OXTR mRNA (bottom) at different ages in auditory cortex. The second and third postnatal weeks had the highest amount of cortical OXTR-2 expression (n = 21 animals, 4–7 animals/age; p < 10−4) and mRNA level (n = 12 animals, 3 animals/age; p < 0.03). **F**, Summary of oxytocin receptor lateralization in left versus right virgin female auditory cortex. Top, OXTR-2 expression is higher in left auditory cortex than in right auditory cortex from the same animals during and after postnatal week 3 (n = 11 virgin females, p < 0.0006, Student’s paired two-tailed t test), but not earlier during postnatal weeks 1–2 (n = 10 virgin females, p > 0.2). Bottom, oxytocin receptor mRNA (measured with RT-PCR relative to ribophorin mRNA expression) is higher in left auditory cortex than in right auditory cortex from the same adult virgin females (left auditory cortex expressed 112.4 ± 5.4% mRNA as right auditory cortex, n = 9, p < 0.04, Student’s paired two-tailed t test). Oxytocin receptor mRNA was not detected in oxytocin receptor knock-out mice (KO, n = 3 virgin females). Statistics and error bars are means ± SEM. *p < 0.05. **p < 0.01. 

Modulation of synaptic responses by oxytocin

What are the functional consequences of oxytocin signaling in the CNS? We investigated how oxytocin might modulate synaptic transmission in the adult brain, specifically in three areas thought to be important for social communication: left auditory cortex (Fig. 9A), piriform cortex (Fig. 9B), and PVN (Fig. 9C). We made whole-cell recordings in brain slices of virgin female mice from these regions. First, we measured IPSCs in voltage-clamp mode at a depolarized holding potential, monitoring inhibitory synaptic responses evoked with an extracellular stimulation electrode placed near the recorded cell. After monitoring baseline IPSCs for several minutes, oxytocin was washed into the bath for ~5 min and responses measured during and after oxytocin application.

In each region, oxytocin consistently reduced inhibition (Fig. 9D–F), confirming and extending previous studies in the auditory cortex and hippocampus (Owen et al., 2013; Marlin et al., 2015). We used 1 μM oxytocin for recordings from virgin female left auditory cortex (Fig. 9A, D) and 5 μM oxytocin for recordings from virgin female piriform cortex (Fig. 9B, E) or PVN (Fig. 9C, F). These concentrations were chosen based on measurements of dose–response relations for each region, where 1–5 μM oxytocin led to the maximal amount of disinhibition in auditory cortex (Fig. 9G). Higher concentrations were required to reduce inhibition by a comparable degree in piriform cortex (Fig. 9H) and PVN (Fig. 9I), with 5–10 μM oxytocin reducing inhibition by a similar level in these two regions. Note that ACSF alone (0 μM oxytocin) did not affect IPSC amplitudes (Fig. 9G–I). Optogenetic release of
endogenous oxytocin in slices from Oxt-IRES-Cre animals also reduced IPSCs in each region in a comparable manner (Fig. 10).

In contrast to the robust and reliable disinhibition produced within 3–6 min by pharmacological treatment (Figs. 9, 11A) or optogenetic release of oxytocin (Figs. 10, 11A), excitatory responses onto the same cells were relatively unchanged during the first 3–6 min of the wash-in period (Fig. 11B). The disinhibitory effect of oxytocin was blocked by coapplication of a specific oxytocin receptor antagonist, OTA (1 μM, Fig. 11C), indicating that these effects are mediated directly by oxytocin receptor activation and not cross-reactivity of oxytocin peptide with other types of receptors. In auditory cortex and PVN, the reduction of inhibition could be observed when excitatory synapses were blocked with DNQX and APV (Fig. 11D). In contrast, blocking excitation prevented oxytocinergic disinhibition in the piriform cortex (Fig. 11D), suggesting that the mechanism of oxytocin modulation may be distinct in different brain areas, although the functional consequences may be similar.

We also assessed whether oxytocin wash-in affected spontaneous, ongoing EPSCs and IPSCs in these three regions. Strikingly, spontaneous rates of EPSCs and IPSCs were each increased transiently in response to oxytocin, increasing EPSC slope and spike generation (Fig. 11E,F), similar to the effects of oxytocin receptor agonist application in hippocampal slices (Owen et al., 2013). This increase in spontaneous rate occurred in the absence of significant changes to the mean spontaneous event amplitude (Fig. 11E,G). These data suggest that, in the auditory cortex and PVN, reduction in evoked inhibition might occur via presynaptic activation of oxytocin receptors on inhibitory terminals that serve to decrease GABAergic transmitter release, similar to the action of several other neuromodulators in mouse cortex (Kruglikov and Rudy, 2008). However, in piriform cortex, excitatory cells and synapses onto inhibitory cells might be affected by oxytocin, increasing inhibitory tone and hyperpolarization to reduce excitatory drive onto inhibitory cells.

Disinhibition can be effective for inducing long-term synaptic modifications in the auditory cortex (Froemke, 2015). Recently, we showed that oxytocin could transform responses in female virgin auditory cortex, increasing the number of spikes evoked by infant distress vocalizations (Marlin et al., 2015). To examine the mechanisms and specificity of such cortical plasticity directly, we recorded from auditory cortical neurons in brain slices (Fig. 12) and in vivo (Fig. 13). Our goal was to determine whether oxytocin modulation can help to induce long-term potentiation (LTP) of synaptic input and increase spiking output. We also wondered whether oxytocin modulation might only be specialized for modifying responses to pup calls and other kinds of vocalizations or if oxytocin might more generally affect cortical responses to any incoming stimulus.

Repetitive stimulation in the presence of oxytocin could reliably potentiate excitatory synapses. A recording from virgin female left auditory cortex in vitro is shown in Figure 12A. Initially, this neuron almost never fired spikes in response to synaptic stimulation. Although excitation was initially unaffected, oxytocin wash-in (5 μM) led to a gradual increase in EPSPs over 10–20 min, which persisted long after oxytocin washout. In parallel, this cell began firing action potentials, eventually firing reliably on almost every trial. Similar effects were obtained with optogenetic release of endogenous oxytocin in slices made from Oxt-IRES-Cre animals virally expressing ChETA in oxytocin neurons. A recording is shown in Figure 12B and the action of oxytocin on LTP induction and increased spike firing probability for each recording is summarized in Figure 12, E and F, respectively. To determine more reliably whether LTP could influence spike generation, some cells were transiently depolarized (5–20 mV) prior to and during synaptic stimulation; a fixed duration and amount of depolarization was used for a given cell during baseline and postoxytocin measurements.

It is likely that the initial increase in EPSP was due to reduced inhibition, allowing the synaptic inputs to more effectively depolarize the postsynaptic cell. The maintained enhancement of EPSP size, however, may be due to mechanisms of LTP or spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP) triggered by repetitive synaptic stimulation paired with reliable postsynaptic action potential generation (D’Amour and Froemke, 2015). Because these mechanisms usually depend on activation of NMDA receptors (Froemke, 2015), we made recordings in ACSF containing the NMDA receptor antagonist APV (50 μM) before oxytocin wash-in. APV fully blocked long-term changes in both EPSPs and spike generation (Fig. 12C,E,F) produced by oxytocin. Therefore, the long-term changes produced by a brief period of oxytocin wash-in required NMDA receptors, strongly suggesting that this enhancement of EPSP strength is a canonical form of LTP.

To determine whether disinhibition was sufficient to induce LTP and increase spike firing, we used the GABAA antagonist gabazine (0.1 μM). Transient gabazine wash-in was effective at persistently enhancing EPSP slope and spike generation (Fig. 12D–F) in a similar manner as oxytocin wash-in. These data support the hypothesis that a consequence of the disinhibitory action of oxytocin modulation is to enable LTP induction, likely by increasing synaptic strength from a subthreshold to a suprathreshold level and consequently inducing excitatory STDP (D’Amour and Froemke, 2015).

These results from brain slices suggest that oxytocin modulation and plasticity may not simply be a special mechanism for enhancing responses to ultrasonic pup vocalizations. Instead, any sensory input might potentially be susceptible to oxytocin mod-

**Figure 8.** Laminar expression of OXTR-2 in auditory cortex. Shown is the percentage of OXTR-2-labeled cells in auditory cortex at four different postnatal ages, in superficial layers 1–3, in layer 4, and deep layers 5–6. Layer 4 contains significantly fewer OXTR-2+ cells (**p < 10^{-10}** compared with layers 1–3 and layers 5–6, Fisher’s exact two-tailed t test corrected for multiple comparisons), except compared with layers 5–6 in adult animals (tp = 0.09).
ulation. To test this hypothesis, we performed in vivo current-clamp recordings (Fig. 13A), single-unit cell-attached recordings (Fig. 13B), and multunit extracellular recordings (Fig. 13C) from virgin female mouse primary auditory cortex (A1). For each recording type, we measured pure tone frequency tuning before and after pairing a specific tone with oxytocin for several minutes. We observed long-lasting changes to both synaptic and spiking responses of A1 neurons and multunit ensembles to the paired tone for 10 minutes after pairing regardless of the frequency of the paired tone.

These data show conclusively that oxytocin disinhibits cortical neurons directly, boosting EPSP amplitude and converting subthreshold responses into suprathreshold spiking responses. This leads to mechanisms of NMDA-receptor-dependent plasticity that consolidate these enhancements and enable them to persist in absence of further oxytocin action. In this way, oxytocin might transiently increase the salience of incoming sensory input, enabling cortical circuits (and other oxytocin-sensitive brain regions) to form new memories of important social experiences.

Discussion

The distribution of oxytocin receptors in the mouse brain determines which components of neural circuits are directly sensitive to oxytocin modulation and thus form an important network for control of social behavior. Using a novel, specific antibody to the mouse oxytocin receptor OXTR-2, we found that oxytocin receptors were widely expressed throughout the CNS and detectable in many brain areas. Previous important studies using radiolabeled ligands and transgenic approaches have detected receptors in high abundance in certain brain regions, such as the PVN, lateral septum, nucleus accumbens, raphé nucleus, and amygdala (Elends et al., 1988; Insel and Shapiro, 1992; Yoshida et al., 2009). OXTR-2 immunoreactivity revealed differential receptor expression throughout the CNS. Importantly, no labeling with the antibody was detected in tissue sections from oxytocin receptor knock-out animals. We were also able to validate the specificity of our antibody using electron microscopy from wild-type and receptor knock-out mice.

The goal of our study was to determine the major features of the oxytocin circuitry in the mouse brain. We made four intriguing observations. First, we confirmed that oxytocin receptor expression was lateralized in the female mouse auditory cortex (Marlin et al., 2015) and delineated the “zone of lateralization” within the temporal lobe and over development.
dress the lateralization of the receptor, we also examined mRNA levels using both qPCR and RNAseq and found that the levels of transcript followed similar patterns as oxytocin receptor protein expression, both in the left and right adult female auditory cortex, as well as in the overall developmental profile in auditory cortex and thalamus. The potential mechanisms for this surprising lateralization of both protein and transcript levels is unclear, but the oxytocin receptor is under tremendous control by various regulatory elements that provide potential for asymmetric expression (Gimpl and Fahrenholz, 2001). This has been best described in C. elegans, in which extensive regulatory networks control asymmetric left/right expression of receptor-type guanylyl cyclases in the ASE gustatory neurons and G-protein-coupled receptors in AWC olfactory neurons (Troemel et al., 1999; Hobert, 2014). Because activity in left auditory cortex is required for retrieval of isolated pups by maternal mice (Marlin et al., 2015), it is possible that there is a computational advantage in this form of clustered network organization for rapidly processing complex and ethologically important social stimuli such as infant distress calls for behavioral operation. One clue to the mechanisms underlying lateralized receptor expression might be the developmental time course of receptor expression. Lateralization was only apparent after the third postnatal week, which is particularly significant given that inhibitory responses begin to mature during this period in the rodent auditory cortex (Dorrn et al., 2010). It is possible that receptor lateralization might be part of a maturational program for specific types of cortical interneurons, including a subset of parvalbumin-positive and somatostatin-positive inhibitory cells that predominantly express oxytocin receptors (Nakajima et al., 2014; Marlin et al., 2015).

Second, CA2 and piriform cortex were especially enriched for oxytocin receptors in females. Together with left auditory cortex, these regions might form part of a distributed network for rodent maternal behaviors. There are several behaviors required for successful maternal care, which might be augmented or activated by oxytocin release (Sanchez-Andrade and Kendrick, 2009; Dulac et al., 2014; Rilling and Young, 2014). Beyond birthing and lactation, other maternal behaviors such as nest building, recognition of pup odor, or detection and rescue of isolated pups might rely on spatial, olfactory, and auditory memories enabled by oxytocin modulation and plasticity within CA2, piriform cortex, and left auditory cortex, as well as many other brain areas (Cui et al., 2013; Dulac et al., 2014; Rilling and Young, 2014; Grinevich et al., 2016). The abundance of oxytocin receptor expression in CA2 is particularly significant given the finding that this region of the hippocampus conveys signals to promote social interaction and memory (Hitti and Siegelbaum, 2014). Oxytocin receptor expression in mouse auditory cortex or PVN did not seem to be regulated by estrus. This may be a consequence of the rapid estrous cycling in mice and is comparable to findings examining radiolabeled oxytocin receptors in maternal rats (Insel, 1990) and rabbits (Jimenez et al., 2015).

Third, we found that oxytocin receptor activation modulates inhibitory transmission and controls long-term plasticity in vitro and in vivo, even for stimuli that are not a priori “social.” We and others have found that oxytocin acts as a disinhibitory neuropeptide throughout the brain, rapidly decreasing evoked inhibitory events in the mouse piriform cortex and PVN, in the auditory cortex in vitro and in vivo (Marlin et al., 2015), and in hippocampal neurons in vitro (Owen et al., 2013). Disinhibition seems to be a general mechanism for modulating cortical circuits (Kruglikov and Rudy, 2008; Froemke, 2015). Consistent with this hypothesis, we previously found that oxytocin receptors were expressed primarily by cortical interneurons, specifically those that were parvalbumin-positive or somatostatin-positive (Marlin et al., 2015). This is similar to a recent study in transgenic mice in which somatostatin-positive inhibitory cells in mouse frontal cortex were found to express oxytocin receptors (Nakajima et al., 2014). At the electron microscopic level, it appeared that oxytocin receptors were located at excitatory and inhibitory synapses (both presynaptic and postsynaptic), along axons, and expressed by glial cells. Surprisingly, however, the predominant effect of oxytocin modulation is to reduce inhibitory transmission without directly affecting excitation. This was apparent after either pharmacological application of exogenous oxytocin or optogenetic release of endogenous oxytocin and was consistent across different brain areas: auditory cortex, piriform cortex, and
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**Figure 10.** Endogenous oxytocin reduces synaptic inhibition. A. Whole-cell voltage-clamp recording from layer 5 pyramidal neuron of Oxt-RES-Cre mouse auditory cortex (ACtx) in vitro. Optogenetic stimulation of oxytocin axons decreased IPSC amplitude (baseline: 115.0 ± 4.0 pA, 3–6 min after start of optogenetic stimulation: 72.0 ± 4.4 pA, reduced to 62.7% of baseline). Statistics are means ± SEM. Inset, Average IPSC traces at baseline (black) and 3–6 min after start of optogenetic stimulation (blue). Scale bar, 15 ms, 50 pA. B. Whole-cell voltage-clamp recording in piriform cortex (PCtx). Optogenetic stimulation decreased IPSCs (baseline: 72.4 ± 2.8 pA, 3–6 min after optogenetic stimulation: 48.9 ± 2.1 pA, reduced to 67.6% of baseline). Scale bar, 30 ms, 50 pA. C. Whole-cell voltage-clamp recording in PVN. Optogenetic stimulation decreased IPSCs (baseline: 232.8 ± 9.1 pA, 3–6 min after optogenetic stimulation: 123.1 ± 9.3 pA, reduced to 52.9% of baseline). Scale bar, 30 ms, 100 pA. D. Optogenetic stimulation rapidly reduced IPSCs in auditory cortex within 3–6 min (decrease to 72.8 ± 1.8% of baseline, n = 6, p < 0.002, Student’s paired two-tailed t test; decrease after 5–10 min: 56.5 ± 9.0% of baseline, p < 0.002). E. Optogenetic stimulation reduced IPSCs in piriform cortex (decrease to 64.8 ± 4.1% of baseline, n = 5, p < 0.02; decrease after 5–10 min: 60.9 ± 4.2% of baseline, p < 0.02). F. Optogenetic stimulation reduced IPSCs in PVN (decrease to 71.9 ± 7.6% of baseline, n = 4, p < 0.04; decrease after 5–10 min: 67.7 ± 6.7% of baseline, p < 0.02).
Oxytocin modulation of evoked and spontaneous activity in auditory cortex. A, Oxytocin reduced evoked IPSCs within 1–6 min (auditory cortex 1 μM oxytocin, decrease to 80.7 ± 3.7% of baseline, n = 7, p < 0.003; Student’s paired two-tailed t test; auditory cortex optogenetics, decrease to 72.8 ± 1.8% of baseline, n = 6, p < 0.002; piriform cortex 5 μM oxytocin, decrease to 74.7 ± 4.5% of baseline, n = 6, p < 0.04; piriform cortex optogenetics, decrease to 64.8 ± 4.1% of baseline, n = 5, p < 0.02; PVN 5 μM oxytocin, decrease to 71.1 ± 5.3% of baseline, n = 5, p < 0.02; PVN optogenetics, decrease to 71.9 ± 7.6% of baseline, n = 4, p < 0.04; ** p < 0.05; *** p < 0.001. B, Oxytocin did not significantly affect evoked EPSCs in the first 3–6 min (auditory cortex 1 μM oxytocin, 98.2 ± 4.4% of baseline, p > 0.7; Student’s paired two-tailed t test; auditory cortex optogenetics, 90.5 ± 10.9% of baseline, p > 0.4; piriform cortex 5 μM oxytocin, 97.0 ± 4.4% of baseline, p > 0.5; piriform cortex optogenetics, 103.5 ± 16.8% of baseline, p > 0.8; PVN 5 μM oxytocin, 104.5 ± 13.6% of baseline, p > 0.7; PVN optogenetics, 80.4 ± 10.7% of baseline, p > 0.1). C, OTA (1 μM) prevented oxytocinergic reduction of IPSCs (auditory cortex 1 μM oxytocin, 107.4 ± 5.9% of baseline, n = 4, p > 0.3; piriform cortex 5 μM oxytocin, 98.1 ± 4.8% of baseline, n = 4, p > 0.7; PVN 5 μM oxytocin, 100.1 ± 6.3% of baseline, n = 3, p > 0.9). D, Oxytocin reduced IPSCs in DNQX/APV (25 and 50 μM) in auditory cortex and PVN but not piriform cortex (auditory cortex 1 μM oxytocin, decrease to 73.1 ± 5.3% of baseline, n = 8, p < 0.002; piriform cortex 5 μM oxytocin, 94.2 ± 2.8% of baseline, n = 5, p > 0.1; PVN 5 μM oxytocin, decrease to 86.9 ± 2.2% of baseline, n = 5, p < 0.005). E, Example periods of spontaneous activity before (black) and during oxytocin wash-in (red). Left, EPSCs and IPSCs from virgin female left auditory cortex. Scale bar, 100 ms, 10 pA. Middle, Piriform cortex. Scale bar, 25 ms, 10 pA. Right, PVN. Scale bar, 100 ms, 10 pA. * Detected events in each trace. F, Oxytocin increased spontaneous event frequency (auditory cortex 1 μM oxytocin, spontaneous EPSC frequency increased to 133.1 ± 10.3% of baseline, p < 0.04; auditory cortex spontaneous IPSC frequency increased to 169.5 ± 17.6% of baseline, p < 0.003; piriform cortex 5 μM oxytocin, spontaneous IPSC frequency increased to 151.3 ± 19.1% of baseline, p < 0.05; piriform cortex spontaneous IPSC frequency increased to 422.1 ± 92.0% of baseline, p < 0.04; PVN 5 μM oxytocin, spontaneous EPSC frequency increased to 124.8 ± 2.8% of baseline, p < 0.004; PVN spontaneous IPSC frequency increased to 145.9 ± 13.3% of baseline, p < 0.05). Filled bars, EPSCs; open bars, IPSCs. G, Oxytocin did not significantly affect spontaneous event amplitude (auditory cortex 1 μM oxytocin, spontaneous EPSC amplitude was 96.4 ± 2.3% of baseline, p > 0.1; auditory cortex spontaneous IPSC amplitude was 107.7 ± 8.2% of baseline, p > 0.4; piriform cortex 5 μM oxytocin, spontaneous EPSC amplitude was 93.2 ± 3.3% of baseline, p > 0.05; piriform cortex spontaneous IPSC amplitude was 102.5 ± 6.8% of baseline, p > 0.7; PVN 5 μM oxytocin, spontaneous IPSC amplitude was 95.9 ± 3.0% of baseline, p > 0.2; PVN spontaneous IPSC amplitude was 111.8 ± 8.9% of baseline, p > 0.2).

PVN in the present and previous study (Marlin et al., 2015) and also in the hippocampus (Owen et al., 2013). The disinhibitory effects of oxytocin may be triggered by oxytocin binding to receptors at synaptic terminals of inhibitory cells to reduce transmitter release or perhaps by activating somatic or axonal receptors to temporarily silence these neurons. In contrast to evoked IPSCs, however, spontaneous IPSCs were enhanced by oxytocin; this is consistent with previous findings in hippocampal slices from Owen et al. (2013), who suggested a mechanistic link among oxytocinergic depolarization of fast-spiking hippocampal interneurons, an increase in spontaneous firing of these neurons, subsequent increases in spontaneous inhibitory transmission, and then a reduction in available transmitter for evoked presynaptic spikes, ultimately decreasing evoked IPSC amplitude onto hippocampal pyramidal neurons. We hypothesize that a similar mechanism may occur in the cortex and PVN. Alternatively, the patterns of subcellular oxytocin receptor expression (on both presynaptic and postsynaptic sites) might indicate that control of spontaneous and evoked inhibition are independent and occur via distinct mechanisms.

As a consequence of disinhibition, when we made recordings using current-clamp solution to measure EPSPs and spikes, we found that EPSPs were potentiated 10–20 min after oxytocin wash-in and during and after increased spike firing evoked by extracellular stimulation. We hypothesize that this is a form of STDP in the auditory cortex enabled by disinhibition (D’Amour and Froemke, 2015). In contrast, STDP of EPSCs was not observed, likely because EPSPs were monitored using voltage-clamp solution in which spikes were blocked with QX-314. This dramatic increase in evoked spikes in the auditory cortex could serve as a potential mechanism for enabling animals to detect, recognize, or encode the behavioral significance of vocalizations or other sounds associated with a heightened oxytocinergic tone (which may reflect an important social context for such
Figure 12. Oxytocin enables cortical plasticity in vitro. A, Current-clamp recording from layer 5 pyramidal cell of virgin female auditory cortex in vitro. Oxytocin (OT, 5 μM, red bar) washed into the bath gradually increased EPSP slope (baseline: 6.9 ± 0.1 mV/msec, 10–20 min after wash-in: 8.3 ± 0.1 mV/msec, increased to 120.6% of baseline) and spiking probability (upper raster plot; baseline: 4.0% of trials, 10–20 min after wash-in: 96.3% of trials; cell was depolarized by 19 mV). Inset, Representative traces before (gray) and after (red) oxytocin wash-in. Scale bar, 10 ms, 25 mV. B, Current-clamp recording from auditory cortex of virgin female Oxt-IRES-Cre animal. Optogenetic release of oxytocin (Opto, blue bar) increased EPSP slope (baseline: 2.5 ± 0.1 mV/msec, 10–20 min after start of optogenetic stimulation: 3.5 ± 0.05 mV/msec, increased to 141.6% of baseline) and spiking probability (upper raster plot; baseline: 18.2% of trials, 10–20 min after start of optogenetic stimulation: 48.7% of trials; cell was depolarized by 112.6 mV). C, Current-clamp recording from layer 5 pyramidal cell of virgin female auditory cortex in vitro. Oxytocin wash-in (5 μM oxytocin, red bar) in the presence of APV (50 μM APV, black bar) did not enhance EPSP slope (baseline: 6.6 ± 0.1 mV/msec, 10–20 min after wash-in: 5.5 ± 0.1 mV/msec, decreased to 83.1% of baseline) or spiking probability (upper raster plot; baseline: 20.8% of trials, 10–20 min after wash-in: 10.0% of trials; cell was depolarized by 12 mV). D, Current-clamp recording from layer 5 pyramidal cell of virgin female auditory cortex in vitro. Gabazine (0.1 μM, black bar) washed into the bath gradually increased EPSP slope (baseline: 4.8 ± 0.1 mV/msec, 10–20 min after start of wash-in: 6.8 ± 0.1 mV/msec, increased to 145.5% of baseline) and spiking probability (upper raster plot; baseline: 6.3% of trials, 10–20 min after wash-in: 100.0% of trials; cell was depolarized by 12 mV). E, F, Synaptic responses and spiking responses to oxytocin (OT), optogenetic release of oxytocin (Opto), and APV treatment. Gabazine (Gabazine) washed into the bath gradually increased EPSP slope (baseline: 4.8 ± 0.1 mV/msec, 10–20 min after wash-in: 6.8 ± 0.1 mV/msec, increased to 145.5% of baseline) and spiking probability (upper raster plot; baseline: 6.3% of trials, 10–20 min after wash-in: 100.0% of trials; cell was depolarized by 12 mV).}

In this manner, disinhibition due to oxytocin modulation may increase the salience of incoming auditory information, allowing animals to rapidly learn the meaning of pup calls (Marlin et al., 2015) or other arbitrarily complex sounds emitted by infants or adults.

Although inhibitory events were consistently reduced in each of the three brain regions examined here (auditory cortex, piriform cortex, and PVN), there may be important distinctions in the mechanisms and details of oxytocinergic modulation in different circuits. Although IPSCs were reduced by oxytocin in auditory cortex and PVN when excitatory receptors were blocked, DNQX/APV prevented disinhibition in the piriform cortex. This suggests that inhibitory events onto piriform cortex cells were triggered disynaptically by stimulation of excitatory inputs into interneurons. It is also possible that optogenetic stimulation of oxytocinergic fibers leads to corelease of other neurotransmitters or neuromodulators that regulate inhibition, such as glutamate (Kendrick et al., 1988; Richard et al., 1991). Furthermore, oxytocin greatly increased the rate of spontaneous inhibition onto excitatory piriform neurons, providing an alternative mechanism for oxytocinergic disinhibition. In the auditory cortex and PVN, we hypothesize that oxytocin receptors on presynaptic inhibitory terminals might directly decrease GABA release, whereas in the piriform cortex, oxytocin receptor activation might hyperpolarize excitatory cells, preventing them from driving inhibitory cells. Inhibitory events in piriform cortex and PVN were also modulated at higher concentrations of oxytocin than in the

117.2 ± 6.6% of baseline, n = 7, p < 0.05). *p < 0.05. F, Oxytocin or gabazine enabled long-term enhancement of spike firing in auditory cortex. Mean trial-by-trial spiking probabilities before and 10–20 min after oxytocin wash-in (Bef., spike probability per trial before oxytocin: 16.3 ± 3.2%; Aft., spiking probability after oxytocin: 38.8 ± 8.6%, n = 10, p < 0.05) before and 10–20 min after optogenetic release of oxytocin (spike probability per trial before optogenetic stimulation: 21.8 ± 5.7%, spike probability after optogenetic stimulation: 66.6 ± 12.7%, n = 5, p < 0.05), before and 10–20 min after oxytocin in APV (spike probability per trial before oxytocin in APV: 15.5 ± 2.0%, spike probability after oxytocin in APV: 14.3 ± 7.8%, n = 6, p > 0.9), or before and 10–20 min after gabazine wash-in (spike probability per trial before gabazine: 12.2 ± 3.4%, spike probability after gabazine: 8.5 ± 8.5%, n = 7, p < 0.0002). Same recordings as in Figure 12. © 2016 Society for Neuroscience. All rights reserved. Richard et al., 1991; Oxt.
auditory cortex. This higher concentration requirement may be due to differences in effectors downstream of G-protein signaling mechanisms that link oxytocin receptor activation to changes in transmitter release, such as those described by Owen et al. (2013) in hippocampal slices. Alternatively, the greater sensitivity to oxytocin of auditory cortical inhibitory events may be a consequence of a lower baseline amount of oxytocin release and/or receptor desensitization in auditory cortex compared with piriform cortex and PVN.

Consistent with previous studies in transgenic mice, glial cells were previously shown to express oxytocin receptors in OXTR-Venus knock-in animals (Yoshida et al., 2009). Glial expression of oxytocin receptors is interesting because it may allow these cells to sense ambient oxytocin peptide levels in CSF or blood, enabling these cells to appropriately regulate release of oxytocin and other neurohormones (Panatier, 2009; Yoshida et al., 2009). Moreover, oxytocin might directly stimulate hormone release from astrocytes (Parent et al., 2008). Further studies are required to understand how glial oxytocin receptors may be important regulators of social behaviors and neuroendocrine signaling.

Finally, we observed oxytocin-releasing axons in many diverse regions in transgenic animals expressing YFP only in these cells. There was little relation between axonal distributions and oxytocin receptor expression profiles, as observed for some other transmitter systems (Herkenham, 1987). This suggests that the specificity of target structures for oxytocin modulation is a purview of the postsynaptic excitatory and inhibitory neurons in these regions. Our study may have been underpowered to see more fine-grain differences in oxytocin receptors or oxytocinergic axon branches within individual circuits. However, this indicates that oxytocin release may act broadly as a classic volume-transmitted neuromodulator across the CNS. Consonant with these hypotheses, the dose–response relations that we examined indicate that inhibitory transmission is less sensitive to low concentrations of oxytocin in brain regions that express oxytocin receptors more densely; this includes the PVN, which highly expressed oxytocin receptors and had many YFP+ oxytocinergic axons. There appeared to be extensive oxytocin-positive axon fibers projecting within and between the PVN and SON. This observation is especially interesting given our electrophysiological recordings from PVN neurons showing that the PVN is rapidly disinhibited by oxytocin. This modulation of inhibitory tone might help to coordinate excitability and firing patterns across these two regions, ensuring a substantial and homogeneous pattern of oxytocin release throughout the
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**Figure 13.** Oxytocin enables cortical plasticity in vivo. **A.** Whole-cell current-clamp recordings in virgin female mice of synaptic responses to pure tones before and after oxytocin pairing. Left, Averaged tone-evoked responses from an example whole-cell recording of AI frequency tuning in vivo. After measuring baseline frequency tuning, topical application of oxytocin was paired with 14 kHz tones (arrow) and tuning curves measured 11–20 min afterward (gray dashed line, baseline responses to 14 kHz tones: 0.8 ± 0.2 mV; black solid line, 11–20 min after pairing: 1.7 ± 0.3 mV; increase of 214.4% from baseline, p < 0.03, Student’s unpaired two-tailed t-test). Inset, Example EPSPs evoked by paired 14 kHz tones before (gray) and 11–20 min after (black) pairing. Scale bar, 1 mV, 50 ms. **B.** Single-unit (cell-attached) recordings of spiking in virgin female left auditory cortex before and after oxytocin pairing. Left, Average tone-evoked responses from cell-attached recording of frequency tuning before and 11–20 min after oxytocin pairing with 39 kHz tones (gray dashed line, baseline responses to 39 kHz tones: 0.25 ± 0.08 spikes/tone, 11–20 min after pairing: 0.75 ± 0.19 spikes/tone, p = 0.03, Student’s unpaired two-tailed t-test). Right, Summary of single-unit recordings before and after pairing (before pairing: 0.05 ± 0.03 spikes/tone, 11–20 min after pairing: 0.76 ± 0.23 spikes/tone, n = 10 neurons, p < 0.02, Student’s paired two-tailed t-test). **C.** Extracellular recordings of multunit activity in vivo before and after oxytocin pairing. Left, Average tone-evoked responses from multunit recording before and 11–20 min after oxytocin pairing with 16 kHz tones (gray dashed line, baseline responses to 16 kHz tones: 0.0 ± 0.0 spikes/tone; black solid line, 11–20 min after pairing: 0.44 ± 0.03 spikes/tone; p < 10^-4, Student’s unpaired two-tailed t-test). Right, Summary of multunit recordings before and after pairing (before pairing: 0.50 ± 0.39 spikes/tone, 11–20 min after pairing: 2.06 ± 0.63 spikes/tone, n = 7 recordings, p < 0.04, Student’s paired two-tailed t-test). **D.** Extracellular recordings of multunit activity in vivo before and after oxytocin pairing.
brain for multimodal control of information processing. It remains to be determined precisely what types of sensory stimuli and behavioral episodes (social or otherwise) activate these neurons for central oxytocin release.
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