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Activation of Corticostriatal Circuitry Relieves Chronic Neuropathic Pain
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Neural circuits that determine the perception and modulation of pain remain poorly understood. The prefrontal cortex (PFC) provides top-down control of sensory and affective processes. While animal and human imaging studies have shown that the PFC is involved in pain regulation, its exact role in pain states remains incompletely understood. A key output target for the PFC is the nucleus accumbens (NAc), an important component of the reward circuitry. Interestingly, recent human imaging studies suggest that the projection from the PFC to the NAc is altered in chronic pain. The function of this corticostriatal projection in pain states, however, is not known. Here we show that optogenetic activation of the PFC produces strong antinociceptive effects in a rat model (spared nerve injury model) of persistent neuropathic pain. PFC activation also reduces the affective symptoms of pain. Furthermore, we show that this pain-relieving function of the PFC is likely mediated by projections to the NAc. Thus, our results support a novel role for corticostriatal circuitry in pain regulation.
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Introduction

Brain circuits that regulate pain are not well understood. The classic descending modulatory pathway involves neurons from the midbrain and brainstem. In this circuit, pain activates neurons in the periaqueductal gray (PAG), which project to the rostral ventromedial medulla (RVM), which in turn projects to the spinal cord to regulate nociceptive signals (Fields et al., 1983; Morgan et al., 1989, 1991; Ossipov et al., 2014). This is a dynamic and bidirectional pathway, and it can both inhibit and facilitate pain. The contribution and mechanism of the cortical and limbic circuits in pain modulation, in comparison, are poorly characterized.

The prefrontal cortex (PFC) provides top-down control of sensory and affective processes (Ressler and Mayberg, 2007; Fuster, 2009; Arnsten et al., 2012). Human fMRI studies show that chronic pain causes prefrontal gray matter loss and altered functional connectivity between the PFC and other regions (Apkarian et al., 2004; Baliki et al., 2006; Geha et al., 2008; Moayedi et al., 2011; Kucyi et al., 2014). Increased prefrontal activity is found in chronic pain patients after cognitive behavioral therapy (Jensen et al., 2012). Meanwhile, animal studies have demonstrated that synaptic changes within the PFC occur in both acute and chronic pain models (Apkarian et al., 2005; Metz et al., 2009; Ji et al., 2010; Li et al., 2010; Ji and Neugebauer, 2011; Obara et al., 2013; Hung et al., 2014). Animal studies have further shown that electrical stimulation or opioid receptor activation in the PFC can inhibit nociception (Cooper, 1975; Hardy and Haigler, 1985; Hardy, 1985).

A major prefrontal target is the nucleus accumbens (NAc; Beckstead and Norgren, 1979; Sesack et al., 1989; Brog et al., 1993; Ishikawa et al., 2008). The NAc is known to regulate reward and aversion-based behavior (Arai et al., 1994; Kanju et al., 2008; Reynolds and Berridge, 2008; Damgaard et al., 2010; Lammel et al., 2011; Navratilova and Porreca, 2014), and it has been shown to play a role in pain regulation (Gear et al., 1999; Becerra et al., 2001; Magnusson and Martin, 2002; Becerra and Borsook, 2008; Geha et al., 2008; Gear and Levine, 2009; Baliki et al., 2010; Goffer et al., 2013; Navratilova and Porreca, 2014). Imaging data show that chronic pain alters connections between the PFC and NAc (Baliki et al., 2010, 2012). However, the exact function of this corticostriatal circuit in pain regulation is not established.

In this study, we examined the role of the PFC–NAc projection in pain regulation in a rat chronic neuropathic pain [spared nerve injury (SNI)] model. We found that optogenetic activation
of the PFC provided significant antinociceptive effects, and it also relieved the aversive quality and depressive symptoms of pain. These results suggest that the PFC can regulate both sensory and affective pain symptoms. More importantly, we found that this pain-inhibiting effect is mediated by the prefrontal projection to the NAc. Thus, our study directly demonstrates a novel and important role for corticostriatal circuitry in pain regulation.

Materials and Methods

Animals. All procedures in this study were approved by the New York University School of Medicine Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee as consistent with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (publication number 85–23) to ensure minimal animal use and discomfort. Male Sprague Dawley rats were purchased from Taconic Farms and kept at Mispro Biotech Services Facility in the Alexandria Center for Life Science, with controlled humidity, room temperature, and 12 h (6:30 A.M. to 6:30 P.M.) light/dark cycle. Food and water were available ad libitum. Animals arrived to the animal facility at 250–300 g and were given on average 7 d to adjust to the new environment before the onset of any experiments.

Virus construction and packaging. Recombinant adeno-associated virus (AAV) vectors were serotyped with AAV1 coat proteins and packaged by the viral vector core at the University of Pennsylvania. Viral titers were 5 × 1011 particles/ml for AAV1.hSyn.ChR2-eYFP.WPRE.hGH and AAV1.hSyn.eYFP.WPRE.hGH (Fenno et al., 2011; Nelson et al., 2013; Schneider et al., 2014).

Drugs. Two,3-dihydroxy-6-nitro-7-sulfamoyl-benzo[f]quinoxaline-2,3-dione (NBQX), purchased from Tocris Bioscience, was resuspended in 0.9% saline to a concentration of 0.55 mmol/µl and 0.5 µl was injected in each side. Infusions into guide cannula were given 15 min before behavioral assays. An equal volume of saline was injected as control.

Stereotaxic cannula implantation and intracranial viral injections. As described previously (Goffer et al., 2013), rats were anesthetized with isoflurane (1.5–2%). In all experiments, virus was delivered to the prelimbic region of the prefrontal cortex (PL-PFC) only. For the PL-PFC, rats were bilaterally injected with 0.5 µl of AAV1.hSyn.ChR2-eYFP.WPRE.hGH or AAV1.hSyn.eYFP.WPRE.hGH at a rate of 0.1 µl/10 s using a 26 g 5 µl Hamilton syringe at anteroposterior (AP) +2.9 mm, mediolateral (ML) ±0.6 mm, and dorsoventral (DV) −3.6 mm. The microinjection needles were left in place for an additional 10 min, raised 1 mm, and left for another minute to allow for diffusion of virus particles away from injection site and to minimize spread of viral particles along the injection tract. Rats were then implanted with 26 gauge bilateral guide cannula (PlasticsOne) in the PL-PFC: AP +2.9 mm, ML ±0.6 mm, DV −2.6 mm. For the NAc core, rats were stereotaxically implanted with two 26 gauge guide cannulas bilaterally: AP +1.6 mm, ML ±2.9 mm, DV −5.6 mm, with tips angled 8° toward the midline. Cannulas were held in place by dental acrylic and patency was maintained with occlusion stylets. For behavioral experiments, 200 µm core optical fibers were threaded through guide cannulas.

For intracranial injections of NBQX, solutions were loaded into two 30 cm lengths of PE-50 tubing attached at one end to 10 µl Hamilton syringes filled with distilled water and at the other end to 33 gauge injector needles, which extended 1 or 2 mm beyond the implanted guides for the PL and NAc core, respectively. Over a period of 100 s, 0.5 µl was injected bilaterally. Injector cannulas were kept in place for another 60 s before removal from guides to allow diffusion of solution into the brain. Following the removal of injector cannulas from cannula guides, stylets were replaced, and animals were subject to behavior tests. Behavior tests were done 15 min after intracranial NBQX injections.

After animals were killed, cryogenic brain sections were collected with a thickness of 20 µm using a Microm HM525 cryostat and analyzed for cannula localization with histological staining; animals with improper cannula placements, low viral expression, or viral expression outside the PL-PFC were excluded from the study.

SNI surgery. SNI surgery was previously described in detail (Decoster and Woolf, 2000; Wang et al., 2011). Briefly, under isoflurane anesthesia (1.5–2%), the skin on the lateral surface of the right thigh of rat was incised and a section made through the biceps femoris muscle to expose three branches of the sciatic nerve: sural, common peroneal, and tibial nerves. The common peroneal and tibial nerves were tied with nonabsorbent 5-0 silk sutures at the point of trifurcation. The nerves were then cut distal to each knot, and ~5 mm of the distal ends were removed. In sham surgeries (control), above nerves were dissected but not cut. Muscle layer was then sutured close, while the skin was stapled. Staples were removed before behavioral testing.

Immunohistochemistry. Rats were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane and transcardially perfused with ice-cold PBS followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS. Brains were fixed in PFA overnight and then placed at 30% to 30% sucrose in PBS to equilibrate for 3 d. Then, 20 µm coronal sections were cut on a cryostat and washed with PBS for 10 min. Sections were washed with PBS with 0.3% Triton X-100 (PBST) for 10 min, then incubated in PBST and 3% normal donkey serum (NDS) for 1 h at room temperature. Sections were incubated with primary antibody, anti-NeuN (Millipore; 1:500) overnight in PBST plus 3% NDS at 4°C. Sections were then washed in PBS and incubated with secondary antibody conjugated to Cy3 for 2 h at room temperature in PBST plus 3% NDS. Sections were washed in PBS and coverslipped with Vectashield mounting medium with DAPI. Images were acquired using a Nikon eclipse 80i microscope with a DS-U2 camera head.

In vivo electrophysiology. Approximately 2 weeks after viral injection, animals were anesthetized with 2% isoflurane and secured to a stereotaxic apparatus. After exposing the skull, a 2.5-mm-diameter hole was drilled in the skull above the target region and a durotomy was carefully performed. A microwire array containing an optical fiber positioned 1 mm above the tips of six surrounding electrodes was then slowly lowered into place with the stereotaxic apparatus, using the coordinates mentioned above. The optical fiber was connected to a 473 nm laser, which was connected to a transistor-to-transistor logic (TTL) pulse-generating box (Tucker-Davis Technologies). An extra output on the TTL box and the headstage were then connected to the acquisition system to simultaneously record laser pulses and brain activity (Blackrock Microsystems). The signal was high-pass filtered online with a 250 Hz threshold to eliminate low-frequency events. Filtered 30 kHz traces of all channels were imported into Matlab and plotted.

Slice preparation. Acute slices of the PFC and the NAc were prepared from 8-week-old male Sprague Dawley rats. Animals were deeply anesthetized with 1:1 ketamine/xylazine mixture and decapitated. The brain was rapidly removed and placed in ice-cold dissection buffer containing the following (in mM): 87 NaCl, 75 sucrose, 2 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 0.5 CaCl2, 7 MgCl2, 25 NaHCO3, 1.3 ascorbic acid, and 10 dextrose, bubbled with 95/5% O2/CO2, pH 7.4. Slices (300–400 µm thick) were cut with a vibratome (Leica), then placed in warm dissection buffer (33–35°C) for <30 min, then transferred to a holding chamber containing artificial CSF (ACSF) at room temperature (ACSF in mM: 124 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.5 MgSO4, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 2.5 CaCl2, and 26 NaHCO3). Slices were kept at room temperature (22–24°C) for ≥30 min before use. For experiments, slices were transferred to the recording chamber and perfused (2–2.5 ml min−1) with oxygenated ACSF at 33°C. PFC recordings were done 2 weeks after viral injections into the PFC, and NAc recordings were done 4 weeks after viral injections.

Whole-cell recordings. Somatic whole-cell recordings were made from pyramidal cells in the prelimbic cortex and medium spiny neurons in the NAc, in either current-clamp or voltage-clamp mode with a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices) using infrared differential interference contrast video microscopy (Olympus). Patch pipettes (3–8 MΩ) were filled with intracellular solution (in mM: 135 K-gluconate, 3 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 5 MgATP, 10 phosphocreatine, and 0.3 GTP). Data were filtered at 2 kHz, digitized at 10 kHz, and analyzed with Clampfit 10 (Molecular Devices). Optical stimulation (470 nm) was applied with a custom fit LED and driver (Mightex Systems). The stimulation pattern was similar to that used in vivo (20 Hz pulse trains; 10 ms on, 40 ms off cycle) at 200–600 mA. In both NAc and PFC, light was applied through a 40× objective over the field of view of the patched cell. NBQX (25 µM) was used to block excitatory synaptic transmission from the prefrontal projecting axons to the NAc.
Animal behavioral tests. Animals used for behavior received either AVA1.hSyn.ChR2-eYFP.WPRE.HGH or AVA1.hSyn.eYFP.WPRE.HGH (control group) in the PL-PMC. Behavioral tests with optical stimulation in the PFC were done ≥2 weeks after viral injection, and tests with stimulation in the NAc core were done 6–8 weeks after injection to ensure optimal expression.

Before behavioral tests, stylists were removed, and cannula guides were inspected for obstructions. Multimode optical fibers (numerical aperture, 0.37; 200 μm core; Thorlabs) were precisely cut to the length of the guide cannulas to restrict the light locally. The fiber was connected to a 473 nm laser diode through an FC/PC adapter (Shanghai Dream Lasers). Laser intensity was measured with a power meter (Thorlabs) before being placed into cannulas and secured into place. Laser was delivered using a TTL pulse-generating box (Tucker-Davis Technologies). The output of the laser was split evenly to two optical fibers (for bilateral stimulation) using a splitter. Before behavior tests, laser output in each of the two fibers was verified with a power meter to ensure that equal power was provided. A laser protocol that included alternating light-on and light-off epochs for 30 s each was provided for the duration of the mechanical and cold allodynia tests, Hargreaves test, conditioned place preference (CPP) test, sucrose preference test (SPT), and the forced swim test (FST).

Within the light-on epoch, the laser was pulsed at 20 Hz with 10 ms pulse duration. The TTL pulse-generating box (Tucker-Davis Technologies) that controlled the laser output was triggered from the Any-maze software using a Photomultiplier Tube (PMT) linked to an overhead camera. Using video analysis software (Any-maze), animal movements were tracked during a 30 min test. Within the software, the total distance traveled was computed for 10 bins of 3 min. Later, videos were correlated with automatic results to verify tracking accuracy.

Mechanical allodynia test. A traditional Diazon up-down method with von Frey filaments was used to measure mechanical hypersensitivity as described previously (Chaplan et al., 1994; Bourquin et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2011). In brief, rats were individually placed into Plexiglas chambers over a mesh table and acclimated for 20 min before the onset of examination. Beginning with 2.55 g, von Frey filaments in a set with logarithmically incremental stiffness (0.45, 0.75, 1.20, 2.55, 4.40, 6.10, 10.50, 15.10 g) were applied to the lateral one-third of right paws (in the distribution of the sural nerve) of rats. A 50% withdrawal threshold was calculated as described previously (Wang et al., 2011).

Cold allodynia test. Animals were individually placed into Plexiglas chambers as mentioned above and acclimated for 20 min. A drop of acetone was applied to the lateral plantar surface of the paws (in the distribution of the sural nerve). As previously described (Hao et al., 1999; Jørum et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2011), the following scoring system was applied: 0, no visible response or startle response lasting <0.5 s; 1, paw withdrawal lasting <5 s; 2, withdrawal lasting 5–10 s; 3, prolonged repetitive withdrawal lasting >10 s. Acetone was applied five times to each paw, and an average score was calculated. Cold hypersensitivity tests were typically done after mechanical allodynia tests on the same day, and observers were blinded to the test condition.

Hargreaves test (Plantar test). The Hargreaves test was performed to evaluate thermal hyperalgesia (Hargreaves et al., 1988). We used a radiant heat-emitting device (35730-Plantar Test, Ugo Basile) to produce acute noxious thermal stimuli and measured the latency to paw withdrawal. Rats were placed individually in a clear plastic chamber and left to acclimate before the test. A mobile infrared heat generator with an aperture of 10 mm in diameter was aimed at the plantar surface of rat hindpaws, and an infrared intensity of 40 was used. The latency to paw withdrawal was recorded automatically. Minimum and maximum cutoffs were assigned at 1 and 30 s, respectively. Paw withdrawals due to locomotion or weight shifting were not counted, and the trials were repeated. The measurements were repeated five times at 5 min intervals on each paw, and the averages of the five measurements were taken and analyzed.

CPP. CPP experiments were conducted in a standard three-compartment apparatus (Stoelting) consisting of two large compartments of equal size (45 × 40 × 35 cm) joined by a tunnel (40 × 9 × 35 cm). The movements of rats in each chamber were automatically recorded by a camera and analyzed with the ANY-maze software (Stoelting). The CPP protocol was modified from King et al. (2009), and it included preconditioning, conditioning, and testing phases. Preconditioning was performed across 3 d for SNI-treated or sham-treated rats.

During preconditioning, all animals were exposed to the environment with full access to all chambers for 30 min each day. On day 3, the movement of each rat was recorded for 15 min and analyzed to verify the absence of any preconditioning chamber preference. Animals spending >80% or <20% (time spent) of the total time in any chamber were eliminated from further testing or analysis (~20% of total animals). Following the preconditioning phase, the rats underwent conditioning for 4 d with alternating treatment-chamber pairings in the morning and afternoon. Half of the rats received light (laser) treatment-chamber pairing in the morning and no light treatment-chamber pairing in the afternoon. The other half of the rats received no light treatment-chamber pairing in the morning and light treatment-chamber pairing in the afternoon. Both light versus no light treatments and chamber pairings were counterbalanced, and ≥ 4 h separated morning and afternoon sessions.

During conditioning, rats were placed in the paired chamber without access to the other compartments in the presence or absence of light treatment for 30 min. On the test day, the animals were placed into the neutral chamber and had access to all chambers for a total of 15 min. There were no light treatments on test days. During these 15 min, animal movements in each of the chambers were recorded, and the time spent in either of the treatment chambers was analyzed by the ANY-maze software. Increased time spent in a chamber associated with either light or no light treatment indicates preference for that chamber.

SPT. As described previously (Wang et al., 2011; Goffer et al., 2013), before testing, animals were trained for 2–7 d to drink from two bottles (1% sucrose solution vs water). On the test day, these rats were acclimated to the test room for ≥20 min. Two bottles (1% sucrose solution vs water) were presented to each animal for 1 h. At the end of each test, sucrose preference was calculated as volume of sucrose consumed divided by total liquid consumption for each rat. Rats were not deprived of water or food. They were allowed to eat and drink ad libitum before the test.

FST. As described previously (Wang et al., 2011; Goffer et al., 2013), animals were acclimatized to the test room. On the first session of the test, each animal was placed for 15 min into a standard clear Porsolt chamber with water at 25°C filled to 25 cm. Afterward, the animal was taken out of the chamber, dried, and put back in its home cage. Twenty-four hours later, the animal was placed into the Porsolt chamber again under the same conditions for 5 min. The second session was recorded and analyzed. For experiments using optogenetics, light treatment occurred during the second session. Immobility was defined as a lack of movement of the hindpaws lasting ≥1 s. Two independent observers, blinded to the test conditions, examined and graded the total time of immobility for each rat using the ANY-maze software for video playback.

Locomotor activities. Rats were placed in a 0.5 × 0.5 m chamber with an overhead camera. Using video analysis software (ANY-maze), animal movement was tracked and SNI and sham groups were compared with a two-tailed Student’s t test. A two-tailed Student’s t test was used to compare the effects of NBQX versus saline. A paired Student’s t test was used to analyze the results from the Hargreaves test. For CPP tests, differences in time spent in each chamber before conditioning (preconditioning) and after conditioning (test) were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA with repeated measures and post hoc multiple-pairwise comparison Bonferroni’s tests were used to compare the 50% withdrawal threshold or cold score for SNI-treated and sham-treated rats. Two-way ANOVA was also used to compare withdrawal threshold or cold score in SNI-treated and sham-treated rats with either channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2)-enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (eYFP) or eYFP-only treatment. A two-tailed Student’s t test was used to compare the effects of NBQX versus saline. A paired Student’s t test was used to analyze the results from the Hargreaves test. For CPP tests, differences in time spent in each chamber before conditioning (preconditioning) and after conditioning (test) were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA with repeated measures followed by post hoc Bonferroni’s tests. For the SPT, a two-tailed Student’s t test was used to compare SNI-treated and sham-treated rats as well as to compare the effects of NBQX versus saline, and a two-way ANOVA with post hoc Bonferroni’s tests was used to compare ChR2-eYFP versus eYFP-only treatment in SNI and sham groups. A two-tailed Student’s t test was used for the analysis of total fluid consumption, sucrose consumption, and water consumption. A two-tailed Student’s t test was used for the analysis...
of FSTs. A two-way ANOVA with repeated measures was used to compare locomotor activities for rats receiving light treatment versus no light treatment over 30 min. For all tests, a $p$ value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism Version 6 software (GraphPad).

Results

**SN1 causes persistent pain**

We studied the SN1 model, a well known model for chronic neuropathic pain (Decosterd and Woolf, 2000; Wang et al., 2011; Goffer et al., 2013). We surgically resected the tibial and common peroneal branches of the sciatic nerve, causing permanent nerve injury and neuropathic pain (Decosterd and Woolf, 2000). Three days after SN1, rats experienced mechanical and cold allodynia (Fig. 1A, B; $p < 0.0001$). Sensory allodynia after SN1 persisted for $\geq 14$ d, as has been described earlier (Decosterd and Woolf, 2000; Wang et al., 2011; Goffer et al., 2013). In contrast, control rats that underwent sham operation did not display sensory allodynia (Fig. 1A, B).

A key affective feature of pain is anhedonia, as shown by patients who lose interest in daily pleasurable activities or work (Dworkin and Gitlin, 1991; Miller and Cano, 2009). Anhedonia in rodents can be tested by the SPT (Nestler and Hyman, 2010). Recently, we and others have reported depression-like behaviors in rodents that underwent SN1 or sham procedure (Romano and Turner, 1985; Dworkin and Gitlin, 1991; Miller and Cano, 2009). Decreased preference for sucrose in the SPT indicates the presence of depressive symptoms of persistent neuropathic pain.

**Activation of neurons in the PL-PFC inhibits neuropathic pain**

We used an *in vivo* optogenetic approach to study the role of PFC in pain modulation. We injected AAV encoding light-sensitive ChR2 fused to e-YFP (ChR2-eYFP; Fenno et al., 2013; Witten et al., 2011; Nelson et al., 2013; Schneider et al., 2014) into neurons of the PL-PFC. Two weeks after viral injections, we found stable histologic expression of ChR2-eYFP that is restricted to the PL-PFC (Fig. 2A). Costaining with NeuN, a neuronal marker, confirms that ChR2s are expressed in neurons. To ensure that these ChR2s are functional, we performed electrophysiological recordings (Fig. 2B). First, we conducted whole-cell patch-clamp recordings in brain slices containing the PL-PFC. Here we found that light activation of the ChR2s in acute brain slices reliably elicited action potential spikes in neurons (Fig. 2C). We then examined the role of the PL-PFC in pain regulation.

We first assessed the sensory component of pain by measurements of allodynia during PL-PFC photostimulation 14 d after the SN1 or sham procedure (Fig. 3A, D). We compared rats injected with ChR2 to (control) rats injected with YFP only. We found that photoactivation of the PL-PFC completely reversed mechanical and cold allodynia in SN1-treated rats, as shown by an increase in mechanical threshold to pain and a decrease in cold sensitivity in the ChR2 group compared with the eYFP group (Fig. 3B, G; $p < 0.0001$). As expected, animals treated with sham surgery displayed no allodynic symptoms (Fig. 3B, C). Together these data indicate that activation of the PL-PFC can increase sensory thresholds for neuropathic pain.

Prefrontal pyramidal neurons are known to form connections with local interneurons in the PFC. Thus, light activation of these pyramidal neurons could potentially activate local circuits within the PFC. To investigate the contribution of this local circuitry to pain regulation, we blocked local transmission within the PL-PFC with NBQX, an AMPA receptor antagonist (Fig. 3E, H). NBQX did not alter the allodynic thresholds in the absence of light activation of the PFC (Fig. 3F, G). We then applied light stimulation in the presence of NBQX so as to selectively activate prefrontal cells of projection pathways. We found that the appli-
The effect of PFC activation on nociception can be due to an alteration of acute nociceptive threshold, or it can be due to specific changes to the central plasticity that occurs with chronic pain. To ensure that PFC activation continues to exert antinociceptive effects even after pain has become chronic, we measured the effect of PL-PFC activation on mechanical and cold allodynia 4 weeks after SNI. We found that activation of the PL-PFC was still able to relieve allodynia 4 weeks after the onset of pain (Fig. 4A, B; p < 0.001; C; p < 0.01). Next, to assess the possibility that PFC activation can alter acute nociception, we applied the Hargreaves test, a test that measures behavioral responses to acute noxious thermal stimuli (Hargreaves et al., 1988), to SNI-treated rats. We first measured the response to thermal stimuli in uninjured paws, and we found that PFC activation resulted in a significant increase in the latency to paw withdrawal (Fig. 4C; p <

Figure 2. Functional expression of ChR2 in PL-PFC neurons. A. Histologic expression of ChR2-eYFP in the PL-PFC 14 d after viral injection. ChR2-eYFP was found to be restricted to neurons in the PL-PFC region. NeuN, Neuronal marker. B. Schematic showing electrophysiological recordings were conducted at the site of light delivery. Data were collected from prefrontal neurons 14 d after viral injections in the PL-PFC. C. Whole-cell patch-clamp recording showed that direct illumination with an LED in brain slices containing the PL-PFC elicited spikes with high fidelity in prefrontal neurons. LED pulses are represented below in blue. D. In vivo recording at the virus injection site with simultaneous photoactivation demonstrated that laser pulses reliably triggered spikes in PL-PFC neurons. Laser pulses are represented below in green.

Figure 3. Activation of PL-PFC neurons relieves sensory alldynia in a rat chronic neuropathic pain (SNI) model. A. Optical fibers were positioned directly above viral injection sites in the PL-PFC. Photoactivation occurred during behavior tests. B. Illumination of ChR2-expressing neurons in the PL-PFC decreased mechanical alldynia in SNI-treated rats, but not in sham-treated rats. Light treatment did not have any effect on animals that received vectors containing eYFP only. Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post-test, n = 8–9, p < 0.0001. Light treatment was performed ≥2 weeks after SNI/sham procedures. C. Illumination of ChR2-expressing neurons in the PL-PFC decreased cold alldynia in SNI-treated rats. Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post-test, n = 8–9, p < 0.0001. Light treatment was performed ≥2 weeks after SNI/sham procedures. D. Schematic showing the locations of optic fibers. E. To test the contribution of local circuits within the PL-PFC to the antiallodynic effects of prefrontal activation, NBOX was injected into the PL-PFC before photoactivation and behavior assays. Tests were performed on SNI-treated rats that expressed ChR2. F. Compared with saline, NBOX infusion in the PFC did not alter mechanical alldynia in SNI-treated rats, with or without light activation of the PFC. Student’s t test, n = 5 (light), n = 6–7 (no light), p > 0.05. G. NBOX infusion in the PFC did not alter cold alldynia in SNI-treated rats, with or without light activation of the PFC. Student’s t test, n = 5 (light), n = 6–7 (no light), p > 0.05. H. Schematic showing the locations of NBOX infusion in the PL-PFC. Error bars show mean and SEM.
These data indicate that PFC activation can indeed elevate the acute nociceptive threshold. Next, we measured the response to thermal stimuli in the injured paws (Fig. 4D; \( p < 0.01 \)). Thus, prefrontal activation appears to be able to reduce both acute nociception and hypersensitivity to evoked pain in the chronic neuropathic pain state.

The PFC is known to regulate both sensory perception and affective component of pain, we also examined depression-like behaviors using the SPT and the FST (Nestler and Hyman, 2010). The CPP test has been established to capture the negative reinforcement associated with the seeking of pain relief, and hence this test can unmask the aversive quality of ongoing or tonic pain (King et al., 2009; De Felice et al., 2013). We used this test to assess the impact of PFC activation. During the conditioning phase of CPP, we applied light stimulation in one chamber, and no light in the opposite chamber (Fig. 5A, B). After a period of conditioning, we found that SNI-treated rats showed a significant preference for the chamber associated with light treatment (Fig. 5B; \( p < 0.05 \)). In contrast, sham-treated rats showed no such preference (Fig. 5B; \( p > 0.05 \)). These data complement results from alldynia and Hargreaves tests and demonstrate that PFC activation can relieve ongoing pain as well as acute or evoked pain. In addition, these data also indicate that PFC activation not only can modify nociception, but it can also reduce the aversive quality of pain.

To further test the impact of prefrontal activation on the affective component of pain, we also examined depression-like behaviors using the SPT and the FST (Nestler and Hyman, 2010).
We performed SPT during photoactivation of the PFC (Fig. 5A). Here we found that, in addition to improving sensory allodynia, photoactivation of the PL-PFC also restored the sucrose preference of SNI-treated rats to the control level (Fig. 5C; p < 0.0001). To rule out the possibility that changes in sucrose preference are affected by an alteration in the drinking ability of SNI-treated rats after PFC activation, we analyzed sucrose, water, and total fluid consumption. We did not find any difference in the amount of total fluid consumption between rats that received PL-PFC activation and rats that did not (Fig. 5D). Instead, SNI-treated rats that received PL-PFC activation consumed a higher amount of sucrose solution (Fig. 5E) and a smaller volume of water during the SPT (Fig. 5F), although these differences were not statistically significant. These results indicate that photoactivation of the PL-PFC did not alter the ability of rats to drink fluid. Thus, according to the standard interpretation of the SPT, activation of the PL-PFC decreased symptoms of anhedonia, a salient affective feature of pain, in SNI-treated rats.

Next, we turned to the FST, another test for depression-like behaviors. When we photoactivated PL-PFC during the FST, we found that SNI-treated rats experienced a significant decrease in immobility (Fig. 5G; p < 0.01), suggesting that PL-PFC activation relieved behavioral despair associated with pain. To rule out the possibility that locomotor changes affected our behavior results, we evaluated locomotion during light treatment. We observed no locomotor changes during PL-PFC photoactivation (Fig. 5H), and thus decreased immobility on the FST is most likely the result of increased motivation or improvements in behavioral despair.

Overall, our data demonstrate that the activation of neurons in the PL-PFC can produce strong antinociceptive effects in the persistent neuropathic pain state, and this region also has an important role in modulating affective pain behaviors.

**Activation of the corticostriatal circuit inhibits pain**

We next investigated which output pathways might mediate the pain-inhibiting effect of PL-PFC. Previous pain studies have assessed the roles of PFC projections to the PAG, thalamus, and amygdala (Bushnell et al., 2013; Cardoso-Cruz et al., 2013; Ji and Neugebauer, 2014). There is recent evidence, however, that connections between the PFC and the NAc—an important projection within the reward circuitry (Koob and Volkow, 2010)—are altered by chronic pain (Baliki et al., 2012). Interestingly, in addition to its well-established role in reward-based learning (Kalivas et al., 2005), the NAc, particularly the core subregion of the NAc, has been shown to mediate pain-induced analgesia and control anhedonic symptoms of pain (Gear et al., 1999; Goffer et al., 2013). PL-PFC is known to project strongly to the NAc core (Sesack et al., 1989). Thus, we hypothesized that the analgesic effect of PL-PFC activation is mediated at least in part by its projection to the NAc core.

Four weeks after we injected ChR2-eYFP into the PL-PFC, we began to find robust expression in the NAc core, indicating that ChR2αs are expressed in the axon terminals of prefrontal neurons that project to the NAc core (Fig. 6A). These findings are comparable to earlier reports of corticostriatal projections (Sesack et al., 1989). To ensure that ChR2 at the axon terminals of PFC neurons are functional, we performed in vivo and slice recordings. First, in vivo optrode recordings showed that illumination of the NAc core produced spikes, indicating that stimulation of the PL-PFC axons caused local neurotransmitter release to activate postsynaptic medium spiny neurons (MSNs) in the NAc (Fig. 6B). To rule out the possibilities of antidromic activation and/or activation of bypassing fibers, we made whole-cell recordings in slices containing the NAc core. Here, localized photoactivation reliably produced EPSPs in MSNs, and these EPSPs were large enough to trigger action potentials (Fig. 6C,D). Furthermore, light-induced EPSPs were blocked by NBQX (Fig. 6D), indicating that light treatment in the NAc promoted synaptic transmission to activate the MSNs. Together, these immunohistological and electrophysiological results demonstrate that pyramidal neurons in the PL-PFC form monosynaptic connections with MSNs in the NAc core, and that these synapses can be directly targeted by optogenetic stimulation.

We next examined the role of this corticostriatal circuit in pain modulation by photoactivation of the prefrontal axons in...
the NAc core. Thus, we shone light in the NAc core after expressing ChR2-eYFP in PL-PFC neurons (Fig. 7 A,D). We found that photoactivation of this corticostriatal projection had significant antialloodynic effects in SN1-treated rats, as it decreased their mechanical and cold hypersensitivity (Fig. 7 B,C; B, $p < 0.001$; C, $p < 0.01$). In contrast, as expected, light treatment did not have any observable antialloodynic effects on sham-treated rats.

To test whether the activation of this corticostriatal pathway can also relieve the affective component of pain, we next evaluated the impact photoactivation of PL-PFC axons in the NAc core has on the aversive quality and depressive symptoms of pain using the CPP test, the SPT, and the FST (Fig. 8). We injected ChR2 into the PFC, and then activated the corticostriatal circuit by light stimulation in the NAc core during these affective tests (Fig. 8A). First, we found that SN1-operated, but not sham-operated, rats developed a preference for the chamber that is associated with light treatment in the NAc (Fig. 8B; $p < 0.001$). These data indicate that the PFC–NAc circuit regulates the aversive state of tonic or ongoing pain. Next, we examined whether the activation of this circuit also relieved anhedonia. We found that light activation of this circuit fully restored sucrose preference in SN1-treated rats to levels similar to saline-treated rats (Fig. 8C; $p < 0.001$). Moreover, in contrast to sham treatment, rats that received light activation consumed a higher volume of sucrose solution (Fig. 8E; $p < 0.01$) and a smaller volume of water during the SPT (Fig. 8F; $p < 0.05$), further indicating an improvement in their anhedonic symptoms. Next, we found that the activation of this corticostriatal circuit improved symptoms of immobility, suggesting a relief of behavioral despair associated with persistent pain (Fig. 8G; $p < 0.05$). Interestingly, the effects of corticostriatal activation on the phenotypes in the CPP test, the SPT, and the FST (Fig. 8B,C,G) were in fact similar to the effects of direct activation of the PL-PFC (Fig. 5B,C,G). Together, these results demonstrate that activation of this corticostriatal circuit not only regulates nociception, but it likely also modulates affective symptoms of pain.

To further verify that the light effect is mediated through synapses between prefrontal neurons and MSNs, we examined whether the administration in the NAC core of NBQX, an AMPA receptor blocker that inhibits synaptic transmission, could block the pain-relieving effects of PL-PFC photoactivation (Fig. 9A,E). We found that rats that received NBQX, compared with control (saline-treated) rats, displayed a marked increase in mechanical and cold sensitivity (Fig. 9B,C; B, $p < 0.001$; C, $p < 0.05$). These results show that NBQX in the NAC core blocked the antialloodynic effects of PFC activation. Next, we examined whether NBQX could also block the relief of pain-induced depression by PFC activation. We found that NBQX-treated rats, compared with control (saline-treated) rats, displayed a significant decrease in sucrose preference (Fig. 9D; $p < 0.05$). Together, these data provide strong evidence that activation of the PL-PFC to NAc core circuit can inhibit neuropathic pain.

**Discussion**

In this study, we have identified a novel neural circuit for pain modulation. We find that activation of the PL-PFC to NAc circuit produces strong antinoceicpic effects, and it can also regulate affective symptoms of pain.

Human imaging and animal studies have shown that the PFC undergoes structural and functional changes in chronic pain states (Apkarian et al., 2004, 2005; Metz et al., 2009; Ji et al., 2010; Li et al., 2010; Ji and Neugebauer, 2011; Moayedi et al., 2011; Obara et al., 2013; Hung et al., 2014; Kucyi et al., 2014). Earlier studies using electrical stimulation and opioid-receptor activation suggest that prefrontal activation can produce antinoceicpic effects (Cooper, 1975; Hardy and Haigler, 1985; Hardy, 1985). Compared with pharmacologic and electrical stimulation, optogenetic stimulation has the advantage of greater temporal specificity and the ability to activate a larger population of neurons, respectively. Thus, our approach allowed direct assessment of the prefrontal activity in pain regulation.

The PL-PFC has been shown to inhibit fear conditioning as well as related anxiety-type and depression-type behaviors in rodents (Corcoran and Quirk, 2007; Sotres-Bayon et al., 2012). Thus, our data demonstrating that PL-PFC activities can treat both sensory and affective pain symptoms are compatible with the established role of this region in mood regulation. An area of the PFC in humans, DL-PFC, is involved in emotional regulation and can play a protective role against depression and anxiety (Tucker et al., 1978; Pascual-Leone et al., 1996; Schlaepfer et al., 2003; Bishop et al., 2004; Balconi and Ferrari, 2012). Recent evidence indicates that the DL-PFC undergoes gray matter loss during chronic pain (Apkarian et al., 2004). Putting our data into this context, decreased activity in the PFC can be speculated to account (at least partially) for the persistence of pain.

In contrast to the pain-relieving role of the PL-PFC, the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), an adjacent brain area, has been shown to promote the aversive quality of pain (Rainville et al., 1997; Sawamoto et al., 2000; Johansen and Fields, 2004; Bissière et al., 2008; De Felice et al., 2013), and in some cases to enhance pain sensitivity (Li et al., 2010). In addition, another component of the PFC, the infralimbic PFC (IL-PFC), has also been implicated in pain regulation (Ji and Neugebauer, 2014). Although the exact role of the IL-PFC in pain states remains unknown, opto-
genetic activation of this region has been shown to inhibit firing of neurons in the PL-PFC while increasing IL-PFC activities in pain models (Ji and Neugebauer, 2012). Thus, the interplay between the PL-PFC and IL-PFC may strongly influence pain modulation. Overall, current literature suggests that different regions within the PFC likely play distinct roles in pain regulation. At the circuit level, both the PL-PFC and the ACC project to the NAc core (Beckstead and Norgren, 1979; Sesack et al., 1989; Sesack and Pickel, 1992; Brog et al., 1993; Vertes, 2004). The IL-PFC, in contrast, has a weaker input to the shell subregion of the NAc. Thus, future functional mapping of distinct microcircuits within the corticostriatal projection can further elucidate how the interplay between the cortex and striatum controls pain.

The most important contribution of our study is the identification of a corticostriatal circuit in pain regulation. One of the primary prefrontal targets is the NAc (Beckstead and Norgren, 1979; Sesack et al., 1989; Sesack and Pickel, 1992; Brog et al., 1993; Vertes, 2004).
Figure 9. NBQX in the NAc blocks the pain-relieving effects of photoactivation of the PL–PFC. A. To test the role of the corticostriatal circuit in pain regulation, NBQX was injected into the NAc core before photoactivation of the PL–PFC and behavior tests. Tests were performed on SNI-treated rats that expressed ChR2. B. NBQX infusion in the NAc blocked the effect of PFC activation on mechanical allodynia in SNI-treated rats. Student’s test, $n = 9–11$, $p < 0.01$. C. NBQX infusion in the NAc blocked the effect of PL–PFC activation on cold allodynia in SNI-treated rats. Student’s test, $n = 8–10$, $p < 0.05$. D. NBQX infusion in the NAc blocked the effect of light treatment in the PL–PFC on sucrose preference in SNI-treated rats. Student’s test, $n = 4–5$, $p < 0.05$. E. Schematic showing the locations of NBQX infusion in the NAc core. Error bars show mean and SEM.

1979; Sesack et al., 1989; Brog et al., 1993; Ishikawa et al., 2008). The PFC–NAc projection provides top-down control of sensory and affective processes (Kalivas et al., 2005; Goff et al., 2008; Ishikawa et al., 2008; Mena et al., 2013; Shen et al., 2014). Recent human imaging studies showing altered connections between the PFC and NAc in chronic pain states emphasize the importance of this corticostriatal circuit (Baliki et al., 2010, 2012). Our behavioral studies indicate that this corticostriatal circuit can be a key mechanism for the brain to exert top-down control for pain.

Central pain regulation can be achieved through descending modulation of the spinal dorsal horn via the PAG–RVM circuit (Fields et al., 1983; Morgan et al., 1989). Pain can also be modulated by supraspinal mechanisms (Morgan et al., 1989). The NAc has been suggested to project both to the PAG and to the brainstem (Yu and Han, 1990; Gear et al., 1999; Becerra et al., 2001; Magnusson and Martin, 2002; Becerra and Borsook, 2008; Geha et al., 2008; Gear and Levine, 2009; Baliki et al., 2010; Goff et al., 2013). Thus, the corticostriatal projection in the current study can potentially provide descending pain inhibition via the PAG–RVM pathway.

The NAc also projects to the ventral pallidum and substantia nigra. These projections terminate in the ventral anterior, dorsal, and lateral thalamus, which in turn projects to the motor cortex as well as to parts of the PFC and ACC. Through this striatothalamocortical circuit, the NAc can influence behavioral outcomes in the presence of rewards (Russo and Nestler, 2013). It is plausible that the NAc can also use this striatothalamocortical pathway to regulate pain, particularly the affective dimension of pain, as a supraspinal mechanism.

Anatomic and functional changes in the PFC–NAc circuit, including prefrontal gray matter density loss and increased corticostriatal connectivity, have been found in chronic pain patients (Apkarian et al., 2004; Geha et al., 2008; Baliki et al., 2010, 2012; Moayed et al., 2011; Kucyi et al., 2014). Our results on the Hargreaves test suggest that PFC activation can reduce acute nociception, whereas data on allodynia and CPP tests show that activation of the corticostriatal circuit can also relieve evoked as well as ongoing chronic pain. Thus, alterations in this corticostriatal connectivity in chronic pain states could clearly affect pain sensitivity. Future studies, however, are needed to fully dissect the role of this corticostriatal circuit in acute nociception versus central plasticity resulting from chronic pain.

Our results on the CPP test, the SPT, and the FST demonstrate that the PFC–NAc circuit can regulate the aversive quality and depressive symptoms of pain. Aversion and depression are important affective expression of pain (Dworkin and Gitlin, 1991; Fishbain et al., 1997; Bair et al., 2003; King et al., 2009; Miller and Cano, 2009; Boyce-Rustay et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2012; De Felice et al., 2013; Goff et al., 2013; Le, 2014). Thus, the corticostriatal circuit can modulate certain affective components of pain, and it will be interesting to further examine the role of this circuit in the full spectrum of affective pain behaviors, including anxiety, depression, pain-associated negative reinforcement, and even addiction.

Although optogenetic stimulation typically induces short-term temporally regulated neuronal activation (Tye and Deisseroth, 2012), photostimulation has been occasionally associated with long-term plasticity (Chaudhury et al., 2013). It is yet unclear whether stimulation of the PFC can result in long-term potentiation or depression at NAc synapses. Such long-term plasticity, however, would suggest that brief neuromodulation of this circuit could provide enduring pain relief.

A limitation of our study is that we did not distinguish between glutamatergic pyramidal neurons and GABAergic interneurons in
the PFC by selective optogenetic targeting. However, two experiments in our study suggest pyramidal neurons likely play an important role. First, when we photostimulated the NAc after expressing ChR2 in the PFC (Fig. 6), light activation most likely occurred in the axon terminals of pyramidal neurons that project to the NAC. Thus, the strong antinociceptive and antidepressant effects we observed with photostimulation suggest that activation of the projection from pyramidal neurons in the PFC to the NAC has pain-relieving properties (Figs. 7, 8). The second, even stronger, evidence comes from our experiment using NBQX in the NAC to block glutamatergic transmissions from the PFC to the NAC (Fig. 9). NBQX, by blocking AMPA receptors in the NAC, can only block transmission from glutamatergic pyramidal neurons and not GABAergic interneurons. We found that blocking glutamatergic transmissions from these pyramidal neurons to the NAC (at least partially) blocked the analgesic effect of light activation within the PFC (Fig. 9). Together, these two experiments provide indirect but strong evidence that pyramidal neurons in the PFC project to the NAC core to regulate pain. However, these experiments do not rule out the possible role of interneurons in pain modulation, and in the future a promotor-specific strategy can directly dissect the pain-modulatory roles of pyramidal neurons versus interneurons.

In conclusion, we have identified a novel corticostriatal circuit in the regulation of chronic neuropathic pain. The projection from the PL–PFC to the NAC provides important antinociceptive effects and can regulate affective symptoms of pain. There is active research to apply deep brain stimulation and transcranial magnetic stimulation to treat depression and other mood disorders (Fox et al., 2012; Holtzheimer et al., 2012; Riva-Posse et al., 2013; Liston et al., 2014). Neuromodulation therapy for pain remains limited to spinal or peripheral nerve stimulation (Deer et al., 2014). Our study, however, raises the possibility of targeting the PFC–NAc circuit in the brain for treating intractable pain.
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